UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COU SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
In re:	:	
	:	Chapter 11
WEST END FINANCIAL ADVISORS,	:	Case No.: 11-11152 (SMB)
LLC, et. al.,	:	(Substantively Consolidated)
	:	·
Debtors.	:	
	X	

MEMORANDUM DECISION AWARDING FINAL FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES

APPEARANCES:

ROBINSON BROG LEINWAND GREENE GENOVESE & GLUCK P.C. Attorneys for Debtors 875 Third Avenue, 9th Floor New York, New York 10022

A. Mitchell Greene, Esq. Of Counsel

ARENT FOX LLP

Attorneys for Mark S. Radke 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

> Peter V. B. Unger, Esq. Mark S. Radke, Esq. Of Counsel

KLESTADT & WINTERS, LLP

Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 570 Seventh Avenue, 17th Floor New York, New York 10018

> Tracy L. Klestadt, Esq. Fred Stevens, Esq. Joseph C. Corneau, Esq. Of Counsel

TRACY HOPE DAVIS United States Trustee 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor New York, New York 10004

Brian Masumoto, Esq. Of Counsel

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 3 World Financial Center New York, NY 10281

> Alistaire Bambach, Esq. Neal Jacobson, Esq. Of Counsel

STUART M. BERNSTEIN United States Bankruptcy Judge:

The matter before the Court concerns the remaining objections to two of the several final fee applications filed in these confirmed cases. The debtors' attorneys, Robinson Brog

Leinwand Greene Genovese & Gluck P.C. ("Robinson Brog"), seek final fees and expenses in the amounts of \$2,151,281.50 and \$43,968.39, respectively. Arent Fox LLP ("Arent Fox"), the attorneys for Mark S. Radke, Esq. the Independent Monitor ("Monitor") appointed by the District Court, originally sought an award of pre-petition fees and expenses in the amounts of \$32,792.84 and \$8,004.06, respectively, and an award of post-petition fees and expenses in the amounts of \$283,941.06 and \$20,249.19, respectively.

The debtors and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") objected to Arent Fox's application, and the United States Trustee and the Securities & Exchange Commission ("SEC") objected to Robinson Brog's application. Having considered these objections and conducted my own review of the parties' applications, I conclude that Robinson Brog is entitled to a final award of fees and expenses, respectively, in the amounts of

\$1,926,074.42 and \$43,968.39. Arent Fox is entitled to a final award of fees and expenses, respectively, in the amounts of \$173,953.65 and \$17,121.35.

BACKGROUND

This has been a contentious case involving many disputes, but the following discussion is limited to what is necessary to understand the disposition of the pending fee applications. In 2000, William Landberg formed West End Financial Advisors, LLC as an investment and financial management company, and eventually established forty-two limited partnership funds as investment vehicles. Landberg provided advice to investors and induced them to invest in these funds. It appears that Landberg operated these entities to some extent as a Ponzi scheme although they did make many legitimate investments, and he was eventually replaced as Chief Executive Officer by Ray Heslin in June 2009.

On January 20, 2011, the SEC commenced an action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "District Court Action") against three affiliated investment firms and four of West End's former or current senior officers, including Landberg. (See Securities and Exchange Commission v. Landberg, 11 CV 00404 (PKC) (S.D.N.Y. 2011).) The SEC sought various forms of relief, including an injunction preventing the defendants from associating with any securities brokers, alleging fraud, misuse of client assets and other securities laws violations.

On or about February 10, 2011, District Judge P. Kevin Castel appointed Radke, a partner in Arent Fox, as Monitor of West End Financial Advisors LLC, West End Capital Management LLC, and Sentinel Investment Management Corporation (collectively defined in the District

Court's order as the "Company"). (*Amended Stipulation & Order*, dated Feb. 10, 2011 ("ASO").) Paragraph 2 of the ASO imposed the following duties on Radke:

- a. to review and approve the design of a liquidating plan to distribute the Company's assets if the Company becomes a debtor under the Bankruptcy Code (in which case the Company will apply to the Bankruptcy Court for the continuation of Radke as Monitor);
- b. to review all fees, expenses and transactions engaged in or incurred by the Company since May 2009;
- c. to review investment decisions made on behalf of the Company since May 2009;
- d. to review the Company's financing and investment advisory arrangements with third parties since May 2009;
- e. to review and approve any expense accrued by the Company subsequent to the date of the *ASO* in excess of \$25,000 per item;
- f. to review all future non-incidental and official communications by the Company to investors; and
- g. to review the Company's plans to identify and pursue claims against third parties.

Paragraph 3 of the *ASO* spelled out the billing rates for Radke, his partner Peter Unger, Esq., and the associates in their law firm, required Radke to render monthly bills to the Company and the SEC, and directed Radke to submit his bills to the Court for approval when the accumulated fees reached \$100,000.

Approximately one month later, on March 15, 2011, and with the exception of West End Cash Liquidity Fund I L.P. and West End Dividend Strategy Fund I. L.P., each of the debtors filed chapter 11 petitions in this Court. On June 9, 2011, West End Cash Liquidity Fund I L.P. filed its chapter 11 petition, and on July 6, 2011, West End Dividend Strategy Fund I L.P. filed its chapter 11 petition. By order dated July 25, 2011, the debtors' estates were partially substantively consolidated. Robinson Brog, the firm that had represented the debtors prepetition, was eventually retained to represent the debtors in the chapter 11 cases.

One week after the initial chapter 11 filings, the office of the United States Trustee filed a motion to appoint a chapter 11 trustee ("Trustee Motion"). (ECF Doc. # 8.) The SEC joined in the Trustee Motion. (See Joinder of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission to Motion by United States Trustee for Order Directing Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee or, in the Alternative, Converting These Cases to Chapter 7, dated Mar. 22, 2011 (ECF Doc. # 13).)

Although Radke did not formally join in the motion, he submitted a declaration in support of the SEC's joinder, taking on an active role as an advocate, and ultimately, a witness on behalf of the United States Trustee and the SEC. (See Declaration of Mark S. Radke in Support of Joinder of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission to Motion by United States Trustee for Order Directing Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee or, in the Alternative, Conversion of the Cases to Chapter 7, dated Mar. 24, 2011 (ECF Doc. # 21).)

The Court conducted several days of evidentiary hearings in connection with the Trustee Motion. The debtors subpoenaed documents from Radke, and he, his law firm and the debtors spent a significant amount of time fighting over objections to the subpoena, and ultimately, presenting those objections to the Court for resolution. In addition, and as noted, Radke testified as a fact witness during the hearings. The Trustee Motion was no longer pressed once the parties began to make progress on a consensual plan, and was withdrawn after the debtors confirmed the plan on January 26, 2012.

In the meantime, by order dated July 29, 2011 (the "Freeze Order"), District Judge Castel suspended Radke's duties under the ASO as of July 27, 2011, but directed the "Company" to apply to the Bankruptcy Court to retain Radke as the Monitor with the duties set forth in the ASO, as amended by the Freeze Order, nunc pro tunc to March 15, 2011, the petition date. The debtors made the motion on August 12, 2011 (ECF Doc. # 203), and by order dated September 8,

2011 (the "Radke Retention Order"), this Court authorized the retention of Radke pursuant to sections 105 and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, nunc pro tunc to the petition date "as the Debtor's independent monitor under the specific terms of the ASO and the Freeze Order." (ECF Doc. # 214.) The Radke Retention Order expressly required Radke to apply to this Court for compensation and reimbursement of expenses pursuant to section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code, and that "[s]uch application shall be subject to the Office of the United States Trustee's guidelines for compensation and reimbursement of expenses and the approval of this Court under 11 U.S.C. § 330."

After confirmation, the Court heard the applications by the various professionals for final compensation and reimbursement of expenses. It resolved all but two from the bench, and reserved decision on the applications filed by Robinson Brog and Arent Fox.

DISCUSSION

A. Introduction

Bankruptcy Code § 330 authorizes a bankruptcy court to award reasonable compensation to a fee applicant based on the actual, necessary services, and to reimburse him for his actual, necessary expenses. 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1). The relevant criteria include the following:

- (A) the time spent on such services;
- (B) the rates charged for such services;
- (C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this title:
- (D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed;
- (E) whether the [professional] is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field; and

(F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3).

The fee applicant bears the burden of proof on its claim for compensation. *Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin Capital v. High River Ltd. P'ship*, No. 05 Civ. 5726 (BSJ), 2007 WL 1217268, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 24, 2007); *Zeisler & Zeisler*, *P.C. v. Prudential Ins. Co. of Am.* (*In re JLM, Inc.*), 210 B.R. 19, 24 (B.A.P. 2d Cir. 1997); *In re Keene Corp.*, 205 B.R. 690, 695 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997). Even in the absence of an objection, the Court has an independent duty to scrutinize the fee request. *In re Busy Beaver Bldg. Ctrs.*, *Inc.*, 19 F.3d 833, 841 (3d Cir. 1994). The applicant must submit contemporaneous time records, although a computerized printout summary, in lieu of the original time slips, will suffice. *Masterwear Corp. v. Angel & Frankel, P.C.* (*In re Masterwear Corp.*), 233 B.R. 266, 278 & n.14 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1999).

The standards for time records are contained in this Court's Fee Guidelines, as amended, and the guidelines issued by the Executive Office of United States Trustees. *See* 28 C.F.R., pt. 58, App. A (2011) ("UST Guidelines"). At bottom, proper time record keeping is necessary to enable the court to determine the reasonableness of the work that has been performed. Generally, fee applications, standing alone, must contain sufficient detail to demonstrate compliance with § 330. UST Guidelines, (b). Any uncertainties due to poor record keeping are resolved against the applicant. *In re Poseidon Pools of Am.*, 216 B.R. 98, 100-01 (E.D.N.Y. 1997). Time records must be broken down by project. UST Guidelines, (b)(4)(i). Entries concerning communications (*e.g.*, telephone calls, letters) should identify the parties and the nature of the communication. *Id.*, (b)(4)(v). Entries relating to conferences or hearings should identify the subject of the hearing, and explain, where appropriate, why more than one

professional from the applicant participated. *Id.* Finally, multiple project services rendered on the same day should be listed in separate entries unless the aggregate daily time does not exceed one half hour. *Id.* Alternatively, and consistent with the practice followed here prior to the adoption of the UST Guidelines, the applicant may "lump" his daily project entries provided he indicates parenthetically the amount of time spent on each activity.

A court does not determine "reasonableness" through hindsight. *In re Brous*, 370 B.R. 563, 570 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007). A decision reasonable at first may turn out wrong in the end. The test is an objective one, and considers "what services a reasonable lawyer or legal firm would have performed in the same circumstances." *In re Ames Dep't Stores, Inc.*, 76 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 1996) (*citing In re Taxman Clothing Co.*, 49 F.3d 310, 315 (7th Cir. 1995) (Posner, J.)); accord In re Angelika Films 57th, Inc., 227 B.R. 29, 42 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1998); *In re Keene Corp.*, 205 B.R. at 696; *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 133 B.R. 13, 23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991).

The rules that govern fee awards and time record keeping in bankruptcy mirror those that apply in non-bankruptcy cases. Courts outside of bankruptcy generally apply the "lodestar" method under which they arrive at a fee "by multiplying 'the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation . . . by a reasonable hourly rate." *Kirsch v. Fleet St., Ltd.*, 148 F.3d 149, 172 (2d Cir. 1998) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 433 (1983)). The fee applicant bears the burden of proof to demonstrate the reasonableness and necessity of its services. *Allende v. Unitech Design, Inc.*, 783 F. Supp. 2d 509, 512 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) ("As the fee applicant, plaintiffs 'bear[] the burden of documenting the hours reasonably spent by counsel, and the reasonableness of the hourly rates claimed.") (internal citation omitted); *Tokyo Electron Arizona, Inc. v. Discreet Indus. Corp.*, 215 F.R.D. 60, 62 (E.D.N.Y. 2003) ("The party seeking

reimbursement bears the burden of proving the reasonableness and necessity of hours spent and rates charged.") (citing *New York State Ass'n for Retarded Children v. Carey*, 711 F.2d 1136 (2d Cir. 1983)). "Applications for fee awards should generally be documented by contemporaneously created time records that specify, for each attorney, the date, the hours expended, and the nature of the work done." *Kirsch*, 148 F.3d at 173.

Lumping or block billing, a timekeeping practice that involves including multiple services in a single, aggregated time entry without any breakdown of the time spent on each service, complicates a court's efforts "to gauge the reasonableness of time expended on each activity." Ass'n of Holocaust Victims for Restitution of Artwork & Masterpieces v. Bank of Australia Creditanstalt, No. 04 Civ. 3600 (SWK), 2005 WL 3099592, at *5-6 & n.9 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 17, 2005); accord LV v. New York City Dep't of Educ., 700 F. Supp. 2d 510, 525 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) ("[B]lock-billing can make it 'exceedingly difficult for courts to assess the reasonableness of the hours billed. In such circumstances courts have found it appropriate to cut hours across the board by some percentage."") (internal citations omitted); Williams v. New York City Hous. Auth., 975 F. Supp. 317, 327 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) ("Fee applicants should not "lump' several services or tasks into one time sheet entry because it is then difficult, if not impossible, for a court to determine the reasonableness of the time spent on each of the individual services or tasks provided It is not the court's job to decipher time entries and guess how much time each activity took It is the responsibility of the applicant to make separate time entries for each activity.") (quoting *Poseidon Pools*, 180 B.R. at 731) (citations omitted).

Similarly, vague and ambiguous descriptions of work done prevent the court from assessing the reasonableness of the work, and should be eliminated or reduced. *Cosgrove v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.*, No. 81 CIV. 3482 (AGS), 1996 WL 99390, at * 3 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 7,

1996) ("[M]any of the descriptions of the work performed are vague, including entries such as 'review of file,' 'review of documents' and 'review of [adversary's] letter.' There can be no meaningful review of time records where the entries are too vague to determine whether the hours were reasonably expended.") (citations omitted); *Dotson v. City of Syracuse*, No. 5:04-CV-1388 (NAM/GJD), 2011 WL 817499, at *24 (N.D.N.Y. Mar. 2, 2011) ("Descriptions of work such as 'review of file', 'review of documents' and 'review of letters' are vague and do not permit a court to evaluate the reasonableness of the services."); *Schruefer v. Winthorpe Grant, Inc.*, No. 99 Civ. 9365 (GBD)(AJP), 2003 WL 21511157, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. July 2, 2003) (imposing overall reduction of 10% based on vague time entries including "various phone conferences," "review file," "legal research," and "case administration").

"[C]ourts have recognized that it is unrealistic to expect a trial judge to evaluate and rule on every entry in an application [and] have endorsed percentage cuts as a practical means of trimming fat from a fee application." *New York State Ass'n for Retarded Children, Inc. v. Carey*, 711 F.2d at 1146. To address problems like block billing and vagueness, courts routinely apply across the board reductions. *United States Football League v. Nat'l Football League*, 887 F.2d 408, 415 (2d Cir. 1989) (affirming across the board reduction for vague time entries); *Colon v. City of New York*, Nos. 09 CV 0008 (JBW), 2012 WL 691544, at *21 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 9, 2012) (collecting cases); *Reiter v. Metro. Transp. Auth. of the State of New York*, No. 01 Civ. 2762 (GWG), 2007 WL 2775144, at *15 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 27, 2007) (collecting cases); *Klimbach v. Spherion Corp.*, 467 F. Supp. 2d 323, 332 (W.D.N.Y. 2006) (applying a 10% across the board reduction for vague billing entries); *Ass'n of Holocaust Victims*, 2005 WL 3099592, at *7 (reducing lodestar amount by 25% to account for instances of block billing, vagueness and excess).

B. Robinson Brog Application

1. Introduction

application. The principal objection by both is directed at the services rendered in opposing the Trustee Motion. According to the SEC, the time charges aggregated approximately \$210,606. (Objection of Securities and Exchange Commission to Fee Application of Robinson Brog Leinwand Greene Genovese & Gluck P.C., dated Feb. 8. 2012 ("SEC Objection"), at 3 (ECF Doc. # 332).) The bases of the objection are two-fold: the services did not benefit the estate, see 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3)(C), and rendered Robinson Brog an interested person. See 11 U.S.C. § 328(c). The SEC also objects to the \$43,063 in fees generated by Robinson Brog in prosecuting a motion in the District Court objecting to the Monitor's fees and seeking to remove the Monitor. The United States Trustee and SEC argue that the Court should reduce the fees relating to the Examiner and his report, described below, and essentially surcharge Robinson Brog for the fees incurred by the Committee and the SEC. Finally, the United States Trustee argues that Robinson Brog's time records fail to substantiate the firm's services in accordance with the principles set down by this Court's fee guidelines and the UST Guidelines.

2. Resolution of Objections

a. The Trustee Motion

"The cornerstone of Chapter 11 is the presumption that the debtor-in-possession will be permitted to operate its business after filing, unless there is cause for the appointment of a trustee." *Hansen, Jones & Leta, P.C. v. Segal*, 220 B.R. 434, 458 (D. Utah 1998). The Bankruptcy Code nevertheless allows the Court to displace the debtor-in possession and appoint

11

The SEC argues that I should disallow all fees on this basis. (SEC Objection at 9.)

a chapter 11 trustee "for cause, including fraud, dishonesty, incompetence, or gross mismanagement of the affairs of the debtor by current management, either before or after the commencement of the case," 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1), or "if such appointment is in the interests of creditors, any equity security holders, and other interests of the estate." 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(2). Under Bankruptcy Code § 1104(e), added in 2005, the United States Trustee must move for the appointment of a trustee if he or she has

reasonable grounds to suspect that current members of the governing body of the debtor, the debtor's chief executive or chief financial officer, or members of the governing body who selected the debtor's chief executive or chief financial officer, participated in actual fraud, dishonesty, or criminal conduct in the management of the debtor or the debtor's public financial reporting.

Landberg appointed Ray Heslin, the president of the debtors, to his position, and I assume that this relationship prompted the Trustee Motion one week into the case.

There is no *per se* rule that legal services provided by the debtor's attorney in the defense of a trustee motion, even an unsuccessful defense, are not compensable. *See In re Del Monico*, No. 04 B 38235, 2006 WL 345013, at *4 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. Feb 15, 2006) ("[I]f the *decision* to oppose the motion [to appoint a chapter 11 trustee] was in the best interest of the estate at the time, compensation would still be appropriate regardless of the ultimate outcome.") (emphasis in original); *In re Spanjer Bros., Inc.*, 191 B.R. 738, 752 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1996) ("The Court therefore rejects the contention that a debtor's attorney who opposes appointment of a trustee, and loses, should not receive any compensation for this time expended fighting that appointment."); *cf JLM, Inc.*, 210 B.R. at 25 ("If there is a reasonable basis for contending that the estate will benefit by opposing conversion or taking a position on other control-related matters, compensation will not be denied.").

Similarly,

the mere fact that an attorney for a debtor opposes and loses a motion for the appointment of a trustee under § 1104(a)(2) does not *ipso facto* demonstrate that the attorney is representing the interests of the debtor's principals and management to the exclusion of the creditors, and thus breaches a fiduciary duty owed to the debtor.

Spanjer Bros., 191 B.R. at 751. A debtor's management enjoys the presumptive right to manage the debtor's affairs, and does not violate the law by opposing a trustee motion. The debtor's attorney acts on the instructions of the debtor's management, and there is no basis to question the attorney's disinterestedness absent evidence that the attorney represented the debtor's management or insiders rather than the interests of the debtor at the direction of management.

Id. at 751-52.

The SEC argues that the opposition was intended to perpetuate Heslin in office, and incidentally, to protect Robinson Brog's financial interest as attorney for the debtor-in-possession. The SEC points to the pre- and post-petition history citing the adversarial relationship fostered by Heslin and Robinson Brog, and their efforts to avoid SEC oversight. In addition, the SEC and the United States Trustee argue that the debtors could have proposed the same plan earlier in the case. They instead delayed, proposing a plan only after the Court denied the debtors' motion for a judgment on partial findings at the close of the United States Trustee's direct case, *see* FED R. CIV. P. 52(c), and it appeared that Heslin might be displaced.

To be sure, this was a contentious case, and each side contributed. Nevertheless, the fact remains that the United States Trustee brought an immediate motion to appoint a chapter 11 trustee, leaving little time to the debtors to do anything other than gear up to defend it. The debtors were certainly not required to "roll over" simply because the United States Trustee and the SEC challenged Heslin's continued management of the debtor's affairs. Moreover, as discussed below, Robinson Brog had sent a draft plan to the Monitor, in accordance with the

ASO, which the Monitor ignored. The debtors thereafter filed a plan within one month after the Freeze Order eliminated the requirement in the ASO that the Monitor must approve of the plan.

Furthermore, I reject the contention that Robinson Brog acted other than at the direction of Heslin to represent the debtors' interests. The Government's argument regarding Robinson Brog's motives and its lack of disinterestedness could apply to every instance in which a debtor and its counsel oppose a motion to appoint a chapter 11 trustee or to convert a case. And although the Court denied the debtors' motion for a directed verdict, it did not do so based on "clear and convincing" evidence that cause existed under Bankruptcy Code § 1104(a)(1), but instead, denied the motion under the "best interest of creditors" test embodied in Bankruptcy Code § 1104(a)(2). (Tr. (4/12/11) at 98-102.) Finally, the Court never decided the Trustee Motion because the confirmation of the debtors' plan rendered it moot.

In the end, the interests of the creditors and investors were best served by the continuation of the debtors' management and Robinson Brog's representation notwithstanding that this also inured to the benefit of Heslin and the firm. The debtors were able to confirm a complex plan that garnered the overwhelming support of all of the constituencies. I doubt that a chapter 11 trustee and new counsel, facing a steep learning curve, could have reached the same result in the same time. It is unfortunate that this overall goal could not have been accomplished in a quicker and cheaper fashion, but the level of contention and amount of litigation made this impossible. Robinson Brog did not do anything different from what other debtors' counsel would have done under similar circumstances. The firm's services opposing the Trustee Motion

_

The one exception concerned Heslin's initial direction to the debtors' bookkeeper to reallocate a portion of his salary to his wife, who also worked for the debtors, to protect his eligibility for disability payments. However, Heslin unilaterally reversed the reallocation, and his W-2 for that year reported all of his compensation. (Transcript of the hearing held April 12, 2011 ("Tr. (4/12/11)"), at 98 (ECF Doc. # 92).)

were reasonable, ultimately benefitted the estate by keeping management in place, and did not rob the firm of its disinterestedness simply by defending the motion.

b. The Freeze Order

The SEC also objects to the fees incurred in the proceedings that led to the *Freeze Order*. According to the SEC, the debtors moved by order to show cause signed by District Judge Castel on July 18, 2011, to remove the Monitor and object to his fees. The motion papers included a 24-page attorney affidavit along with 36 exhibits. (*SEC Objection* at 14.)

On the July 27 return date, District Judge Castel expressed his displeasure with both sides.³ Paragraph 2(a) of the *ASO* directed the Company in the event of a bankruptcy filing to "make the appropriate application to the [bankruptcy] court for the continuance of Mr. Radke as the Independent Monitor." The *ASO* contemplated that if bankruptcy ensued, most if not all of the issues regarding the Monitor, including his continuation and compensation, would be handed off to this Court. (See *District Court Transcript* at 22, 26.) As of July 27, 2011, more than four months after the petition date, the debtors had not yet made the motion, blaming the Monitor's refusal to supply an affidavit of disinterestedness. (*Id.* at 2-3.) The Monitor contended that he refused to provide the affidavit because he had consulted with bankruptcy lawyers at his firm who told him it was not needed. (*Id.* at 22-23.) District Judge Castel criticized both parties for failing to bring their inability or refusal to comply with the *ASO* to his attention, or seek relief from the *ASO*.

⁻

A copy of the July 27, 2011 transcript (the "District Court Transcript") is attached as Exhibit B to the debtors' Response to Objections of United States Trustee and Securities and Exchange Commission to Final Application of Robinson Brog Leinwand Greene Genovese & Gluck P.C. for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses, dated Feb. 13, 2012 (ECF Doc. # 346).

Paragraph 2(a) of the *ASO* also required Radke to "review and approve the design of a plan of distribution to liquidate and distribute the Company's assets." The debtors sent Radke a draft plan on May 3, 20111, but he essentially ignored it because he viewed it as "bare bones" and insufficient. (*Id.* at 18-19.) The District Court stated that Radke should have at least acknowledged receipt of the plan and if appropriate, explained that he declined to comment on it. (*Id.* at 19-20.) In addition, paragraph 3(d) of the *ASO* directed Radke to submit monthly bills to the debtors and the SEC, and submit the bills for District Court approval when the fees accumulated \$100,000.00. He failed to do so, blaming the delay on his computer system. District Judge Castel admonished Radke for not seeking relief from the monthly bill requirement. (*Id.* at 21.) Furthermore, when he finally submitted bills to the SEC, he failed to share them with the debtors. (*Id.* at 28-29.) He also failed to advise the debtors when his fees hit \$100,000.00. (*Id.* at 22.) Finally, when Radke provided a draft report to the SEC, he did not also send a copy to the debtors. (*Id.* at 29-30.)

The District Court ultimately concluded that the debtors failed to comply with the *ASO* and the Monitor acquiesced in that non-compliance. (*Id.* at 26-27.) The debtors should have applied to the Bankruptcy Court to retain the Monitor, and the Monitor should have presented his fee application to the Bankruptcy Court. (*See id.* at 22.) Consequently, the Monitor sought approval of his fees in the wrong court, and District Judge Castel declined to rule on the application. The debtors were directed to take immediate steps in the Bankruptcy Court to retain the Monitor *nunc pro tunc* to the petition date. Finally, with the agreement of all parties, the Monitorship was "frozen," and the debtors' were relieved of the requirement that the Monitor approve the plan. (*See id.* at 36-38.) The *Freeze Order* followed.

The proceedings before District Judge Castel epitomized the parties' penchant for litigation as a substitute for communication. Both sides ignored their obligations under the *ASO*. The issues—the execution of an affidavit of disinterestedness, the motion to retain the Monitor in the Bankruptcy Court, the need for the Monitor's approval of the plan—should have been resolved through a chambers conference to the extent that they could not have been resolved through a telephone call. By late July, the parties had stood down from the Trustee Motion, they were making progress negotiating a plan, and the Monitor's role had faded into the background. The debtors nonetheless decided to initiate emergency litigation. Obviously, the part of the debtors' motion objecting to the Monitor's fees was entirely unnecessary. It should have been made in this Court, as it eventually was, but for the debtors' failure to seek the Monitor's retention.

On the other hand, the need for the Monitor's approval of the plan presented a potentially serious obstacle to progress in the case. The debtors had sent a draft plan to the Monitor in early May, but he ignored it. Once the requirement for his approval was eliminated under the *Freeze Order*, the case moved much more quickly to a successful conclusion. Given the tenor of the case, I remain unconvinced that the Monitor would have surrendered the approval requirement as willingly as he did when pressed by District Judge Castel. Thus, it was reasonable and necessary for the debtors to seek that relief. In short, although the fee objection aspect of the debtors' motion was entirely unnecessary, the motion to eliminate the approval requirement was necessary. Accordingly, 50% of the time spent on the motion, \$21,531.50 will be allowed, and the remaining 50% will be disallowed.

c. The Fee Examiner Litigation

Bankruptcy Code § 327(a) requires that counsel for the debtor must be disinterested and not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate. A disinterested person is one who does not hold a claim against the estate or have an interest materially adverse to the estate. 11 U.S.C. § 101(14). When the debtors sought to retain Robinson Brog as bankruptcy counsel, the United States Trustee objected arguing that the firm had conflicts with the estate that precluded its retention. The firm faced a disgorgement claim in connection with its pre-petition fees. It also faced potential preference liability. Finally, it had received funds from Chartis Specialty Insurance Company ("Chartis"), the debtors' insurer, but had failed to account satisfactorily for those funds.

Robinson Brog suggested a compromise that had been adopted in *Exco Res., Inc. v. Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP (In re Enron)*, No. 02 Civ. 5638 (BSJ), 2003 WL 223455 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 2, 2003). There, the Bankruptcy Court had authorized the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to retain Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP ("Milbank") as its counsel in the bankruptcy proceedings. A creditor subsequently filed a motion to disqualify Milbank. It alleged, among other things, that Milbank had received preferences, and therefore, held an interest adverse to the unsecured creditors.

The District Court affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's conclusion that the preferences did not require disqualification. The examiner appointed in the *Enron* case would determine whether Milbank received a preference, Milbank waived its right to litigate the preference issue, and agreed to be bound by the examiner's determination. The Bankruptcy Court had concluded and the District Court concurred that Milbank's agreement to be bound by the examiner's determination resolved the argument that Milbank held an adverse interest. *Id.* at *9.

Robinson Brog made a similar proposal to resolve the objections to its retention, and agreed to be bound by that determination without further litigation. Accordingly, upon motion of the United States Trustee, the Court ordered the appointment of an examiner to investigate whether Robinson Brog should disgorge any pre-petition fees, whether the firm received preferences that could be recovered by the estates and whether Robinson Brog should be surcharged based on the failure to fully account for \$825,000 received from Chartis. (*Order Directing the Appointment of an Examiner Pursuant to Section 1104(c) of the Bankruptcy Code*, dated June 16, 2011 (ECF Doc. # 157).) The United States Trustee appointed Albert Togut, Esq. as examiner.

Togut rendered his *Fee Examiner's Report* (the "*Report*") (ECF Doc. # 261) on December 8, 2011, after first providing a draft to Robinson Brog and affording the firm the opportunity to comment. The *Report* reflects a conscientious effort to perform his duties in a fair and balanced manner. It concluded that the pre-petition fees should be reduced by \$163,472.71 based on insufficient substantiation, and that Robinson Brog had received a preference in the amount of \$254,045.06. In substance, Robinson Brog owed the estate \$417,517.77. (*Id.* at 49.) The examiner also rejected Robinson Brog's argument that it should be permitted to set off \$132,967.66 in fees that it had previously waived. (*Id.* at 49-50.)

This should have ended the matter, but Robinson Brog filed an objection to the *Report*, challenging many of the examiner's findings and conclusions. (*Objection to the Acceptance of the Fee Examiner's Report*, dated Dec. 12, 2011 (ECF Doc. # 262).) The objection triggered a response from the SEC which complained that Robinson Brog had agreed to abide by the *Report* and waived any right to object. (*Response of Securities and Exchange Commission to Objection by Robinson Brog Leinward Greene Genovese & Gluck P.C. to Examiner's Report*, dated Dec.

21, 2011 (ECF Doc. # 268).) In light of the opposition and the Court's statements, Robinson Brog withdrew its objection to the *Report* at the hearing.

The entire episode involving the appointment of the examiner, the preparation of the *Report* and the litigation following Robinson Brog's objections became a point of contention during the fee hearings. In substance, the SEC and United States Trustee contend that the process was put in place at Robinson Brog's suggestion to overcome the objections to its retention, and Robinson Brog should bear all of the costs. Robinson Brog has already excised from its fee application the value of the services it expended in connection with the fee examiner matters, and this time is not included in its request for compensation. In addition, the Court indicated on several occasions that the examiner's fees would be deducted from any fee award in Robinson Brog's favor precisely for the reasons articulated by the Government. Accordingly, the Court will disallow Robinson Brog's final fee in the amount of \$111,680.24, which reflects the \$110,000.00 in fees awarded to Togut and his firm and \$1,680.24 in expenses. (See Order Awarding Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Fee Examiner and his Counsel, dated Feb. 17, 2012 (ECF Doc. # 353).)

Finally, the SEC and the United States Trustee argue that the Court should also reduce Robinson Brog's fees by the amount of fees generated by Committee counsel in connection with Robinson Brog's objection to the *Report*. The SEC contends further that the fee award should be reduced by the amount of reasonable attorneys' fees attributable to the SEC's response to Robinson Brog's objection. I agree with the former but not the latter. The Committee's counsel expended services valued at \$8,507.00 dealing with the examiner issues, and has been compensated by the estate for its time. Robinson Brog rather than the estate's creditors should bear those expenses for the reasons articulated above, and this amount will be disallowed from

Robinson Brog's request. On the other hand, while the SEC provided reasonable and necessary services in connection with its response to Robinson Brog's objection to the *Report*, the creditors of the estate will not have to bear the costs. Thus, reducing Robinson Brog's award based on the value of the SEC services would simply be a penal measure that I decline to impose.

d. Recordkeeping and Substantiation

The last area of objections concerns Robinson Brog's time keeping entries. The majority of Robinson Brog's time entries satisfy the Court and UST Guidelines, but there are two exceptions. First, as identified on Schedule A, more than 10% of the firm's entries made by thirteen timekeepers involve the activity of "reviewing" documents, and total 678 hours and aggregate \$282,541.69 in fees.⁴

I interpret "review" to mean "read." *See In re CCT Commc'ns, Inc.*, No. 07-10210 (SMB), 2010 WL 3386947, at *8 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Aug. 24, 2010). Conducting a reasonable review of specific documents for a necessary purpose is ordinarily compensable. Here the document being "reviewed" is sometimes described with specificity, but more often, timekeepers are "reviewing" generic categories of documents, such as "schedules," "claims" and the like, for no apparent purpose. Robinson Brog has failed to satisfy its burden of showing the reasonableness or necessity for so many people "reviewing" so many documents, many of which are described in such general terms that it is impossible to discern what the timekeeper is reviewing or why. This form of record keeping justifies a 20% across the board reduction on this category of entries, and \$56,508.34 in fees is disallowed.

21

These entries do not include any other verb, such as "review and revise."

Second, one timekeeper (HEF) billed 134.9 hours, valued at \$53,960.00, performing services identified as "attention to" some document or activity. These entries are summarized on Schedule B. In an earlier case also involving Robinson Brog, the Court concluded that another firm's use of the description "attention to" made it impossible to determine the nature of the service or the activity that it purported to describe, and disallowed 50% of those time charges. *CCT*, 2010 WL 3386947, at *8. I reach the same conclusion here, and disallow 50% of these time charges, or \$26,980.00.

In summary, Robinson Brog sought fees aggregating \$2,151,281.50, fees in the sum of \$225,207.08 have been disallowed for the reasons stated above, and Robinson Brog is entitled to a final fee award in the sum of \$1,926,074.42. The United States Trustee had also lodged objections to certain other time entries primarily on the ground that the descriptions in the records were inadequate. To the extent those objections or any other objections are not addressed in this opinion, they are overruled.

Finally, Robinson Brog seeks \$43,968.39 as reimbursement for its expenses. No party has challenged any particular expense, and they are allowed.

C. Arent Fox Application

1. Introduction

Arent Fox filed its first and final fee application on January 6, 2012. (ECF Doc. # 285.) The application sought fees incurred from the petition date to December 31, 2011 in the sum of \$283,941.06 and reimbursement of expenses in the sum of \$20,249.19. The application also sought approximately \$41,000 in compensation and expenses in connection with pre-petition work.

The Arent Fox application elicited several objections. The Committee argued that (1) the firm was not entitled to an allowance of fees incurred prior to the petition date, (2) the Court never authorized Radke to retain his firm, and hence, the firm should not be compensated, (3) Radke's services exceeded the scope of his appointment, (4) the Court should disallow any fees in excess of \$100,000 because Arent Fox failed to apply to the District Court for approval of its fees until they reached \$278,728.76, (5) Radke and his firm are not entitled to compensation for his services as a trial witness, responding to the debtors' subpoena and opposing the debtors' motion in the District Court to remove him as Monitor, (6) many of the firm's time records include lumped entries, and (7) Radke and Arent Fox incurred unreasonable and unnecessary luxury travel expenses. (Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to First and Final Application of Arent Fox LLP Independent Monitor of the Debtor Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections [sic] 330 for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses, dated Feb. 8, 2012 (ECF Doc. # 334).) The debtors joined in the Committee's objection, amplifying certain of the arguments.

During the February 15, 2012 hearing on the fee application, the Court expressed reservations about Radke's compensation for the time spent as a witness in connection with the Trustee Motion and the related subpoena, and directed him to break out that time in the firm's records. (Transcript of the hearing held Feb. 15, 2012 ("Tr. (2/15/12)"), at 49, 51 (ECF Doc. # 361).) In addition, virtually all of the time records were "lumped," but the Court offered Arent Fox the opportunity to reconstitute its time records to show the amount of time that was spent on

various tasks on the same day.⁵ (*Id.* at 49.) The Court also directed Arent Fox to separate out its pre-petition services. (*Id.* at 50.)

Arent Fox submitted its supplemental papers on or about February 28, 2012 (the "Supplement"), which clarified the time records and resolved some of the issues raised by the Committee. (See ECF Doc. # 356.) Arent Fox removed the request for pre-petition fees and expenses from the application presently before the Court. It also subdivided its post-petition work into three categories reflected in three schedules: (1) the time attributable to Radke's participation as a witness at the hearing on the Trustee Motion, (2) the time attributable to responding to the debtors' subpoena and litigating with the debtors regarding the subpoena, and (3) everything else.

In its subsequent objection, joined in by the Committee, the debtors continued to press their objections to Arent Fox's fee application. According to the debtors, the *Supplement* still failed to comply with the UST Guidelines and section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code, the reconstructed non-contemporaneous time records were unreliable and should be subject to "strict scrutiny," and the additional detail still included lumped, vague and non-compensable time entries. (*See Debtors' Supplemental Objection to the Additional Time Record Detail Submitted by the Independent Monitor and his Counsel in Connection with their Fee Application*, dated Mar. 2, 2012 (ECF Doc. # 359).) The debtors also marked up the records supplied by the applicant indicating its specific objections to particular entries.

-

The pervasive lumping apparently escaped the notice of the United States Trustee who did not object to Radke's fees, but nevertheless asserted a lumping objection to a *di minimis* number of Robinson Brog's time records.

2. Resolution of the Objections to Fees

Several of the issues raised by the Committee require only brief comment. First, Arent Fox has withdrawn its request for pre-petition fees and expenses from its pending application. Second, Arent Fox is entitled to compensation for its reasonable and necessary services representing Radke although the firm was not formally retained by an order of this Court. The *ASO* expressly contemplated that members and associates of Arent Fox would assist Radke in the performance of his duties. It established the billing rate for Radke's partner, Unger, (ASO at ¶ 3(b)), and identified the range of acceptable billing rates for the firm's associates. (*Id.* at ¶ 3(c).) The *Radke Retention Order* (at ¶ 2) provided for his retention *nunc pro tunc* to the petition date "under the specific terms of the ASO and the Freeze Order." It was not intended to modify the provisions of the *ASO* that contemplated Radke's use of members and associates of his firm, and it was unnecessary for him to make a separate application in this Court to retain his firm.

Third, I decline to penalize Arent Fox for its failure to submit a fee application to the District Court until its fees reached nearly \$280,000.00. I do not condone the failure, but the debtors and the Committee have not been prejudiced. They do not contend that the District Court would have vacated or limited Radke's appointment as Monitor once the fees reached \$100,000, and they have had ample opportunity to object to the fees on the merits.

Fourth, I decline to disallow the time spent by Arent Fox responding to the debtors' order to show cause in the District Court. The debtors initiated that litigation, the order to show cause imposed tight time constraints, and Arent Fox's response was reasonable under the circumstances. In addition, the across the board reduction discussed below effectively reduces the allowed amount of time dealing with this matter.

The remaining objections, on the other hand, have greater merit and illustrate two general problems with the Arent Fox application. With three exceptions, Radke's role as Monitor was essentially a passive one. He was directed to "review" the debtors' distribution plan, "review" all fees, expenses, investments decisions, transactions, financing and investment advisory arrangements with third parties since May 2009, "review" all future non-incidental and official communications to the investors and "review" the debtors' plans to identify and pursue claims against third parties. (ASO at \P 2.) The three exceptions involved Radke's role in approving the design of the distribution plan, (*id.*), approving any expense in excess of \$25,000 per item, (*id.*), and reporting to the District Court. (*Id.* at \P 5.)

Radke certainly had to keep informed regarding the bankruptcy in his role as Monitor. Thus, he or a member of his firm would be expected to attend the multi-day hearings on the Trustee Motion in order to "monitor" the proceedings. Nevertheless, Radke exceeded his role and duties as Monitor, and Arent Fox rendered services that were unreasonable and unnecessary to those duties, when Radke became a *de facto* party to and advocate for the Trustee Motion. The chapter 11 cases were filed on March 15, 2011, the Trustee Motion was filed one week later, and the SEC sought to join in the Trustee Motion on March 24, 2011. During that nine day period (March 15 to March 24), Radke worked with the SEC and the United States Trustee to prepare a declaration that the SEC eventually submitted in support of its motion to join in the Trustee Motion. Radke subsequently testified as a fact witness in the direct case on the Trustee Motion based on what he had learned as Monitor, and in connection with his testimony, responded to the debtors' subpoena and engaged in unsuccessful litigation regarding the assertion of privilege with respect to certain of the responsive documents. (*See* Transcript of the hearing held Mar. 31, 2011, at 4-7 (ECF Doc. # 106).)

I do not mean to suggest that it was inappropriate for Radke to testify as a fact witness or object to the debtors' subpoena. If he had relevant evidence to give, he was obliged to give it. If the debtors sought documents that he had a reasonable basis to believe were privileged, he had the right to resist disclosure. Nevertheless, Radke's role as *de facto* party, advocate and trial witness exceeded his duties as Monitor, and the costs should not be borne by the debtors' creditors. Tab 2, Schedule A to the *Supplement* shows that Radke and the firm billed the aggregate amount of \$21,000.00 in connection with Radke's participation as a witness during the trial of the Trustee Motion. Tab 2, Schedule B to the *Supplement* indicates that Arent Fox billed the aggregate amount of \$39,867.00 in connection with its services relating to the trial subpoena and resulting litigation. These fees are disallowed.

Finally, several of the entries in Tab 3, Schedule C to the *Supplement* expressly or impliedly relate to Radke's preparation of the declaration submitted in support of the SEC's joinder in the Trustee Motion during the first nine days of the case. These entries aggregate \$5,632.00, and are disallowed.⁶

The second general problem with the Arent Fox time records concerns the quality of the record keeping and the failure to satisfy the substantiation requirements. The *Radke Retention Order* stated that the firm's recordkeeping must comply with the UST Guidelines. The *Radke Retention Order* was signed on September 8, 2011. Although Radke's retention under the order was made *nunc pro tunc* to the March 15, 2011 petition date, virtually all of the services were performed prior to then and under the *ASO*.

_

The disallowed time, by date, timekeeper and amount are as follows: 3/16/11 (Unger-\$487.00; Radke-\$682.50); 3/18/11 (Radke (four entries)-\$525.00, \$105.00, \$157.50, \$367.50); 3/22/11 (Radke (three entries)-\$525.00, \$1,575.00, \$420.00); 3/24/11 (Radke (two entries)-\$525.00 ("Work on draft declaration for bankruptcy court"), \$262.50).

The parties have sparred over whether it would be fair to force Arent Fox for to meet the UST Guidelines. The dispute is immaterial because even if the UST Guidelines did not apply, the Arent Fox application would still have to satisfy the record keeping requirements imposed on fee applicants outside of bankruptcy, including the requirement for contemporaneous time records that are neither vague nor lumped.

The balance of the time recorded in Tab 3, Schedule C to the *Supplement*, which I compute to be \$217,442.06, reflects the product of after-the-fact efforts to "unblock" the time entries following the February 15, 2012 hearing. To this extent, they are reconstructed rather than contemporaneous. Moreover, even if the time entries attached to the *Supplement* were considered contemporaneous, their accuracy would be suspect. Most of the entries are billed in half-hour or whole-hour increments. Arent Fox's Tab 3, Schedule C includes 390 separate time entries, of which 256 meet these criteria. This indicates that time was recorded in round numbers without any significant effort to detail the actual time spent on services.

Of greater concern, many of these large blocks of time contain woefully vague descriptions and lumped entries, such as "reviewing," "working" on or "drafting" various documents of general or no description, "participating" in conferences or telephone calls described in the most general way, or simply "preparing" for court, making it impossible to determine if the amount of time spent was reasonable. A sampling of these entries is annexed to this opinion as Schedule C. Under the circumstances, the Court will exercise its discretion to apply a 20% across the board reduction to the balance of the time entries in Tab 3, Schedule C that were not previously addressed. Accordingly, Arent Fox's fees are allowed in the amount of

_

One would expect that 20% of the time, or only 78 entries, would be billed in half-hour or whole-hour increments.

\$173,953.65. The Court has considered the remaining objections, and to the extent not

specifically addressed, are overruled as lacking in merit.

3. Resolution of Objection to Expenses

As noted, the debtors and the Committee also objected to the reimbursement of certain

expenses sought by Arent Fox, charging that Radke and Arent Fox lawyers traveled first class

between New York and Washington and stayed in expensive New York hotels. In its

Supplement, Arent Fox agreed to reduce its out-of-town transportation and lodging expenses by

25% or \$3,127.84. This is an appropriate resolution, and accordingly, the firm is entitled to an

award of reimbursed expenses in the sum of \$17,121.35.

The debtors' counsel is directed to submit a fee order consistent with this decision.

Dated: New York, New York

July 2, 2012

/s/ Stuart M. Bernstein

STUART M. BERNSTEIN

United States Bankruptcy Judge

29

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
3/22/2011	AMG	2.00	550.00	1,100.00	REVIEW OF US TRUSTEE MOTION TO CONVERT OR APPOINT CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT OF DECISION RE: DIRECTED	ASSET DISPOSITION
4/15/2011	AMG	0.50	550.00	275.00	VERDICT	ASSET DISPOSITION
5/27/2011	AMG	0.20	550.00	110.00	REVIEW HANK EMAIL REGARDING SALE OF FUSION STOCK (.2)	ASSET DISPOSITION
8/17/2011	AMG	0.50	550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF APPROVAL REGARDING SOUTHWOOD COURT SALE OF LOT	ASSET DISPOSITION
10/18/2011	AMG	0.40	550.00	220.00	REVIEW OF STIPULATION REGARDING SOUTHWOOD RELEASE	ASSET DISPOSITION
					REVIEW OF OPERATING AGREEMENT OF ALL FOUR LLC'S TO DETERMINE RESTRICTION ON TRANSFERABILITY AND JUSTIFICATION FOR PRIVATE	
12/14/2011	FBR	1.50	475	712.50	SALE	ASSET DISPOSITION
12/15/2011	AMG	0.60	550.00	330.00	REVIEW OF DZ AMENDMENT REVIEW OF OPERATING AGREEMENTS FOR SALES	ASSET DISPOSITION
12/20/2011	FBR	2.00	475	950.00	ENTITIES REVIEW OF SALES AGREEMENT AND PROPOSED	ASSET DISPOSITION
12/20/2011	FBR	2.00	475	950.00	ORDER	ASSET DISPOSITION
1/4/2012		0.40	550.00	220.00	REVIEW OF COMMENT TO SALE MOTION	ASSET DISPOSITION
1/4/2012	FBR	0.90	475	427.50	REVIEW OF MEMBERSHIP INTEREST MOTION REVIEW FINAL VERSION OF PURCHASE AGREEMENT	ASSET DISPOSITION
1/5/2012	FBR	0.20	475	95.00	(0.2)	
7/5/2011	AMG	1.00	550.00	550.00	REVIEW OF FTI REPORT REVIEW OF REVISED 20 LARGEST AND MASTER	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
7/27/2011	LS	0.30	400.00	120.00	MAILING LIST REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT FRANCHISE FUND AGING	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
9/15/2011	AMG	0.80	550.00	440.00	OF LOANS	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
9/16/2011		1.20	550.00	660.00	REVIEW OF REPORT FROM FRANCHISE FUND	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
9/19/2011	AMG	0.50	550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF SERVICER REPORT WITH RAY REVIEW OF SEC COMMENT TO DISCLOSURE	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
10/3/2011		0.30			STATEMENT	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
10/25/2011		0.50			REVIEW OF SERVICING REPORTS	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
11/11/2011		0.80			REVIEW OF OPERATING SERVICING REPORT	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
12/22/2011		0.80			REVIEW OF NFA BAILOUT PLAN AND SCHEDULES	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
12/22/2011		0.60			REVIEW OF AMENDMENTS TO TRUST AGREEMENTS	
12/27/2011		0.20			REVIEW OF EMAILS FILED ON ECF REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT AMENDMENT LOAN	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
12/28/2011		0.80			DOCUMENTS REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT AMENDED LOAN	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
12/31/2011	AMG	0.50			DOCUMENT REVIEW OF PROPOSED NORTH LIGHT LOAN AND	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
1/5/2012	FBR	0.90	475	427.50	SECURITY AGREEMENT MARKUP REVIEW OF REVISED NORTHLIGHT LOAN	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
1/8/2012		1.60			DOCUMENTS	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
1/12/2012	AMG	1.60	550.00		REVIEW OF IBERIA LOAN DOCUMENT ISSUES REVIEW OF MONITOR LETTER TO COURT	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
3/1/2011	AMG	0.50	550.00	275.00	REQUESTING ANTIBANKRUPTCY INJUNCTION REVIEW OF 1007 EXHIBITS AND BANKRUPTCY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/1/2011		0.40			SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/1/2011		0.20			REVIEW OF DOCS ON RETENTION ISSUES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/2/2011		0.40			REVIEW OF SEC LETTER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/2/2011 3/2/2011		0.70 0.50			REVIEW OF PETITIONS REVIEW OF PETITION BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/2/2011		0.20			REVIEW OF 362 RE SEC & ENFORCEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/2/2011		0.20			REVIEW OF CAPTION RE CHAPTER 11 FILINGS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/2/2011		0.20			REVIEW OF RETAINER CORRESPONDENCE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/2/2011	LS	0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BILLING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/4/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED CREDITOR SCHEDULES REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF ASSETS	
3/4/2011		0.30			AND LIABILITIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/4/2011 3/7/2011		0.30 0.20			REVIEW OF REVISIONS TO SCHEDULES REVIEW OF RESERVATION WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/7/2011		1.00			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES TO 1007	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/7/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES TO 1007 REVIEW OF LOCAL RULES RE: COMPLIANCE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/8/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF COURT DECISION ON RADKE'S LETTER MOTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF DZ CLOSING BINDER FOR ORIGINAL DZ	
3/9/2011		1.80			LOAN RE: WEST END FIRST DAY ORDERS REVIEW OF CLOSING BINDER FOR AMENDED AND RESTATED DZ LOAN RE: WEST END FIRST DAY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/9/2011	BBN	2.00	325.00	650.00	ORDERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE		VALUE	DIARY	CHART
						REVIEW OF CLOSING BINDER FOR SECOND A&R DZ	
3/9/2011	BBN	2	.30	325.00		LOAN RE: WEST END FIRST DAY ORDERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/10/2011			.30	550.00		REVIEW RADKE LETTERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/10/2011			.50	550.00		REVIEW OF SEC ARTICLE ON CLAW BACKS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/11/2011			.60	550.00		REVIEW OF RADKE LETTER TO COURT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/11/2011			.80	400.00 550.00		REVIEW OF EXPENSE JOURNAL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/11/2011 3/14/2011			.80 .30	400.00		REVIEW OF EXPENSE JOURNAL W/LS REVIEW OF COMMENTS TO 1007 SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/14/2011	LJ	U	.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF COMMENTS TO 1007 SCHEDOLES REVIEW OF JUDGE CASTEL MEMO ENDORSED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/15/2011	AMG	0	.20	550.00	110.00	LETTER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/15/2011			.20	550.00		REVIEW OF INSURANCE MEDIATION DEMAND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF ORG CHART FOR FILING OF	
3/15/2011	BBN	0	.60	325.00	195.00	BANKRUPTCY FOR ACCURACY OF OWNERSHIP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF CORRESP TO CASTEL RE NOTICE OF	
3/15/2011	LS	0	.10	400.00	40.00	FILING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/16/2011	LS	0	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF IBERIA BANK CORRESP RE SET-OFF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/45/0044		_			***	REVIEW OF DOCS REGARDING AMENDING	
3/16/2011			.00	400.00		PETITIONS AND MOTIONS WITH LS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011	AIVIG	U	.30	550.00	165.00	REVIEW OF RADKE LETTER TO INVESTORS REVIEW OF JUDGE CASTEL'S ORDER(.5) AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011	ΔMG	0	.80	550.00	440.00	RADKE'S NEW LETTER(.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/10/2011	711110	Ü	.00	330.00	440.00	REVIEW OF DZ CLOSING DOCUMENTS FOR CASH	CASEADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011	BBN	1	.20	325.00	390.00	COLLATERAL ISSUES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011		0	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF RADKE EMAILS RE: CASES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011	LS	0	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF COMMITTEE SOLICITATION FORM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011	LS	0	.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF RAD AND EQUITY LISTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
- / /		_				RECEIVED AND REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM G.H. AND	
3/18/2011	RMS	0	.10	400.00	40.00	AMG REGARDING DOCS FOR ATTORNEY ON FILING	
						RECEIVED AND REVIEW OF EMAIL AMG REGARDING	
2/10/2011	DNAC	0	.10	400.00	40.00	ATTORNEY CONTACT INFORMATION ON POSSIBLE REPRESENTATION	CACE ADMINISTRATION
3/18/2011	KIVIS	U	.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF RAD AND DEBT AND L TO PARTNER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/21/2011	AMG	1	.00	550.00	550.00	SCHEDULES FOR ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/21/2011	711110	-	.00	330.00	330.00	SCHEDULES FOR ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING	CASEADMINISTRATION
3/21/2011	LS	0	.80	400.00	320.00	REVIEW OF 1007 TO COMPLETE OTHER MOTIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/21/2011	LS	0	.60	400.00	240.00	REVIEW OF EQUITY HOLDER LISTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT CLOSING BINDER AND DZ	
3/22/2011	BBN	0	.70	325.00	227.50	DISK FOR CERTIFICATE OF GOOD STANDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF E-MAILS RE RADS - WEST END SPECIAL	
3/22/2011			.40	325.00		OPPORTUNITY FUND LP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/25/2011	LS	0	.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF EQUITY, RAD, LP SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/25/2011	ıç	0	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF UST CORRESP RE EQUITY, RAD AND LP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/23/2011	L3	U	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW DOCUMENT PRODUCTION FOR ACCURACY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/28/2011	LN	1	.00	110.00	110.00	AND COMPLETENESS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/31/2011			.30	550.00		REVIEW OF TOM REED EMAIL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/1/2011		0	.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF 2004 FORM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF CHECKLIST - FIRST-DAY ORDERS AND	
4/4/2011	LS	0	.30	400.00	120.00	SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF WEST END INCOME STRATEGIES FUND	
		_				AND WEST END SPECIAL OPPORTUNITY FUND	
4/5/2011	HEF	Ü	.80	400.00	320.00	OFFERING MEMOS (.8);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/5/2011	HEE	1	.20	400.00	480.00	REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN DOCUMENTS (1.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/5/2011			.20	400.00		REVIEW OF FILE DOCUMENTS (1.2),	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/3/2011		Ü	.20	400.00	00.00	NEVIEW OF THE DOCOMENTS (.2)	
4/5/2011	LS	0	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED CREDITOR SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF MEMO-ENDORSED RE LPS	
4/5/2011	LS	0	.10	400.00	40.00	COMMUNICATIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF ML MIPA FOR NOTIFICATION	
4/6/2011			.60	325.00		REOUIREMENTS TO ML UPON BANKRUPTCY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/6/2011	BBN	1	.50	325.00	487.50	REVIEW OF FILES FOR SWAP AGREEMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
. / 5 / 2 2 4 4			••			REVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS AND	
4/6/2011	HEF	2	.20	400.00	880.00	MEMBERSHIP INTEREST PURCHASE AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/6/2011	HEE	1	.30	400.00	520.00	REVIEW OF 2008 FLOA AND RELATED DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/0/2011	1161	1	.50	700.00	320.00	REVIEW OF 2008 FLOA AND RELATED DOCUMENTS REVIEW OF 1007 AND SCHEDULES RE MERRILL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/6/2011	LS	0	.20	400.00	80.00	LYNCH CONTACT COUNSEL INFO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/7/2011			.10	400.00		REVIEW NFA I FILE DOCUMENTS (.1);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
•						REVIEW OF 327 PROVISIONS RE DISINTERESTED	
4/7/2011		0	.20	400.00	80.00	STANDARDS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/7/2011			.40	400.00		REVIEW OF ESCROW RUN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/8/2011	LS	0	.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES WITH CW	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE		VALUE	DIARY	CHART
4/11/2011	BBN	1	1.20	325.00	390.00	REVIEW OF NY UCC FILINGS - NO NORTHLIGHT FILINGS FOR WEFA AND WEMFF (.4); REVIEW DE UCC WEB SEARCH (.8) REVIEW DECEMBER 18, 2009 AMENDED AND RESTATED LOAN AGREEMENT WITH NORTHLIGHT;	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/11/2011	HEF	2	2.60	400.00	1,040.00	REVIEW VENTURE RESTAURANT PARTNERS GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS AND 2008 TAX RETURNS (2.6); REVIEW OF TERM SHEETS (1.3) AND REVIEW OF FILE RECORDS RE ALLEGED EXCLUSIVITY BREACHES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/11/2011	HEF	1	.60	400.00	640.00		CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/11/2011	LS	C	0.60	400.00	240.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES TO 1007 REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT AND DZ ISDA SWAP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/12/2011	HEF	2	2.30	400.00	920.00	TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS (2.3) REVIEW OF PLEADINGS AND TRIAL MATERIALS (.2); REVIEW OF WEST END GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/13/2011	HEF	2	2.20	400.00	880.00	(2.0)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/13/2011	LS	C	0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF 363 AND 327 RE RETENTION LANGUAGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/14/2011	KS	2	2.00	201.35	402.70	REVIEW LP AND LLC DOCUMENTS OF ALL ENTITIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/14/2011	LS	C).40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF 341 NOTICES REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN DOCUMENTS (.6) AND REVIEW OF HESLIN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/15/2011				400.00		OF FIRST DAY MOTIONS (.1)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/15/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/15/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF RETENTION ORDER AND APPLICATION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/15/2011				201.35		REVIEW WEST END APRIL 12 HEARING TRANSCRIPT	
4/20/2011 4/20/2011				400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT LOAN DOCUMENTS REVIEW OF AUGIE RESTIVO	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/25/2011	ΛIG	2	3.30	315.42	1 0/0 87	REVIEWED E-MAILS DEMONSTRATING CO- MINGLING (2.0); REVIEW OF BANK STATEMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/26/2011				550.00		REVIEW OF FOGERTY LEITER TO COURT REVIEW OF SEC EMAIL REGARDING ADJOURNMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/26/2011	AMG	C	0.30	550.00	165.00	OF RADKE REPORT REVIEW OF MEMO OF LAW ON OSC TO REMOVE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/26/2011	AMG	C	0.40	550.00	220.00		CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/26/2011	LS	C	0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF BUDGET REVIEW OF WEST END PRODUCTION TO INVESTORS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/27/2011	AMG	C	0.40	550.00	220.00	AND CREDITORS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/28/2011	AMG	C).20	550.00	110.00	REVIEW OF RADKE EMAIL RE: HIS CONDITIONS FOR APPROVAL OF PRODUCTION RE: PROFF OF CLAIMS REVIEW OF VRP ORGANIZATIONAL DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/28/2011	HEF	1	.00	400.00	400.00	(.5); REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (.5)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/28/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF BUDGET	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/28/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF LEASES AND LEASE SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/28/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF 1007 AND SCHEDULES REVIEW OF WEST END NON-DEBTOR AFFILIATES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/28/2011 4/28/2011				400.00 400.00		SCHEDULES REVIEW OF TAX RETURNS	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/20/2011			7.50	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF TAX RETORNS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/29/2011 4/29/2011				400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF UPDATED NON-DEBTOR AFFILIATE LIST REVIEW OF WEST END 101 CHECKLIST REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED RAD, NOTEHOLDER	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/29/2011	LS	C	0.30	400.00	120.00	AND LP SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/2/2011	AMG	C	0.30	550.00	165.00	REVIEW OF OPERATING REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/2/2011	LS	C	0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF TAX RETURNS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/2/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF SCHEDULE OF RAD AND NOTEHOLDERS	
5/3/2011				550.00		REVIEW OF FISCHER/RADKE EMAIL REVIEW OF CREDITORS' COMMITTEE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/3/2011				400.00		APPOINTMENT REVIEW OF US TRUSTEE OBJECTION TO RETENTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/4/2011				550.00		OF R & B	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/5/2011				550.00		REVIEW OF TRUSTEE ORDER ON SCHEDULES REVIEW OF EVIDENCE BINDERS RE INFO FOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/5/2011 5/9/2011				400.00 400.00		SCHEDULES REVIEW OF INVESTOR EMAIL INQUIRIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/9/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF INVESTOR EINAL INQUIRIES REVIEW OF BANK RECONCILIATION FOR OP REPORT	
5/9/2011				400.00		REVIEW OF SCHEDULES ORDER	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
22		2 ()			REVIEW OF EVIDENCE BINDERS - ACCT RECONCILIATIONS FOR SCHEDULES PREP AND	
5/9/2011	LS	1.2	0 400.00	480.00	BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011		0.8	0 400.00	320.00	REVIEW OF INFO FOR SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011	LS	0.2	0 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SPREADSHEET TEMPLATE REVIEW OF COLLIERS FOR CREDITOR LISTS AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011	LS	0.3	0 400.00	120.00	FORMAT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011	LS	0.2	0 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CONTRACTS FOR SCHEDULE G	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011	LS	0.3	0 400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF DISCLAIMER FOR SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011	LS	0.7	0 400.00	280.00	REVIEW OF DRAFT SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/10/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF DEBTOR'S LIST WITH LN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/11/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/11/2011		1.2			REVIEW OF CREDITOR SPREADSHEETS REVIEW OF STATUS OF PREP OF SCHEDULES AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/12/2011		0.3			OPEN ISSUES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/12/2011		0.4			REVIEW OF INVESTOR SPREADSHEETS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/12/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF NOTES TO INVESTOR SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/12/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF TRUSTIIRA INFO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/12/2011 5/13/2011		0.7 0.4			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES AND BACKUP REVIEW OF SCHEDULES WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/16/2011		1.1			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES WITH HESLIN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/16/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF ESCROW RUN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/10/2011		0.2	-00.00	00.00	REVIEW OF OBJECTION TO CASH COLLATERAL AND	CASEADMINISTRATION
5/17/2011	AMG	0.7	0 550.00	385.00	SUB CONSOLIDATION BY IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/17/2011		0.6			REVIEWED UST OBJECTION TO SUB CON	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/17/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/17/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF SCHEDULES WITH FBR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/17/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF 3 CALF CREEK BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/18/2011	AMG	0.5			REVIEW OF APRIL SERVICING REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/18/2011		3.6	0 550.00	1,980.00	REVIEW OF ALL CENTURY LOAN DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/18/2011	BBN	1.2	0 325.00	390.00	REVIEW OF FILE FOR CENTURY LIEN ISSUE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/19/2011	AMG	0.5	0 550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF CENTURY BANK CREDIT AGREEMENT	
					WITH WEMFF FOR CHOICE OF LAW PROVISION,	
5/19/2011	BBN	0.8	0 325.00	260.00	COLLATERAL, ETC	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF CENTURY BANK PLEDGE AGREEMENT RE	
5/19/2011		0.6			COLLATERAL DESCRI PTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/19/2011		0.8			REVIEW OF OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/19/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF COMMITTEE RETENTION DOCS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/19/2011	RRL	0.4	0 550.00	220.00	REVIEW OF COMMITTEE RETENTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
= /20/2011					REVIEW OF MOTION FOR STAY RELIEF OF SETOFF	
5/20/2011	AMG	0.8	550.00	440.00	BY IBERIA BANK	CASE ADMINISTRATION
E /20/2011	DDN	1.3	0 225.00	200.00	REVIEW OF UCC ARTICLE 9 RE BASICS OF CREATION OF A VALID SECURITY INTEREST	CACE ADMINISTRATION
5/20/2011 5/20/2011		1.2 2.8			REVIEW OF CASES RE CENTURY ISSUE	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/20/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF FINANCIALS FOR OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/20/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF THINANCIALS FOR OF REFORTS REVIEW OF OP REPORTS WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/20/2011		0.3	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF SECTION OF CENTURY AGREEMENTS	CASEADMINISTRATION
5/23/2011	BBN	1.2	.0 325.00	390.00	AND UCC FILINGS RE APPLICABLE LAW	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/23/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF OP REPORTS WITH R. HESLIN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/23/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF UST INVOICES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/23/2011		1.3	0 500.00	650.00	REVIEW OF BBN MEMO RE: CENTURY LIEN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/24/2011	BBN	1.7	0 325.00	552.50	REVIEW OF DELAWARE CASES RE IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF ARTICLE RE UCC ARTICLE 9-406 -	
5/24/2011	BBN	0.8	0 325.00	260.00	PAYMENT INTANGIBLE RE: IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/25/2011		1.5	0 325.00	487.50	REVIEW OF ARTICLES RE 9-406/9-408 RE: IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/25/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF UCC SECTIONS 9-406 AND 9-408. (.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/25/2011	LS	0.2	0 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/26/2011	BBN	2.4	0 325.00	780.00	REVIEW OF ANALYSIS IN POWELL ARTICLE RE IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/26/2011	шее	1.9	0 400.00	760.00	REVIEW OF CERTIFICATES, WARRANTS, CONVERSION LETTER AND SCHEDULE 13D (1.9)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/20/2011	HEF	1.5	400.00	700.00	REVIEW OF EMAILS RE SOUTHWOOD COURT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/26/2011	LS	0.2	0 400.00	80.00	PROPERTIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/26/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF MASTER SERVICE LIST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
, -,		3.2		22.30	REVIEW OF RETURN MAIL FOR UPDATE TO SERVICE	
5/26/2011	LS	0.2	0 400.00	80.00		CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/26/2011		0.5			REVIEW OF REVISED MEMO RE: CENTURY LIEN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/27/2011		0.4			REVIEW OF FTI RETENTION PAPERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS RE EUREKA CASE	
5/27/2011	BBN	1.4	0 325.00	455.00	RE: IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/27/2011	BBN	2.3	0 325.00	747.50	REVIEW OF EUREKA CASE RE: IBERIA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF FUSION 10K'S FOR TRANSFER	
					RESTRICTIONS ON STOCK (2.6); REVIEW LOCK UP	
5/27/2011	HEF	4.0	0 400.00	1,600.00	AGREEMENT (1.4);	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)		RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
5/27/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF RETENTION DOCS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/31/2011	AIVIG		0.50	550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF LETTER TO COURT REVIEWOF NOTES RE SECTION 9-406, 9-408, 8-103	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/31/2011	ΛMG		1.80	550.00	990 00	AND DEFINITIONS RE: IBERIA MEMO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/31/2011			0.40	400.00		REVIEW OF BILLING WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3,31,2011			00	100.00	100.00	The state of the s	0/102/10/11/11/01/
5/31/2011	MEB		0.70	500.00	350.00	REVIEW OF BBN REVISED MEMO RE: CENTURY LIEN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/1/2011	AMG		0.20	550.00	110.00	REVIEW E-MAIL (.2)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/1/2011	BBN		0.70	325.00	227.50	REVIEW OF ANDERSON DEBT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF DELAWARE TREATMENT OF LIENS IN	
6/2/2011			3.40	325.00		VIOLATION OF OPERATING AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/2/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF PETITION BACKUP RE TAX ID NOS.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/2/2011			0.10	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF EMAILS RE BCD ADDRESS REVIEW OF MASTER SERVICE LIST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/2/2011	L3		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF MASTER SERVICE LIST REVIEW OF RADKE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/3/2011	AMG		0.50	550.00	275.00	STIPULATION AND ORDER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
-,-,						REVIEW OF NFA INTEREST PURCHASED FROM ML	
6/3/2011	BBN		0.80	325.00	260.00	PURSUANT TO A&R MIPA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE	
						AGREEMENT BETWEEN WEST END & NORTHLIGHT	
6/3/2011	BBN		1.20	325.00	390.00	W/RESPECT TO NFA FUNDING LLC	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT FOOD FRANCHISE FUND	
6/3/2011	BBN		1.50	325.00	487.50	LP OPERATING AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/2/2011	шег		1 60	400.00	640.00	REVIEW DRAFT OF MEMO ADDRESSING SAME	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/3/2011 6/6/2011			1.60 0.60	325.00		FROM BRENDA NATARAJAN. (1.6) REVIEW OF HEF MARKUP TO CENTURY MEMO	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/0/2011	DDIN		0.00	323.00	133.00	REVIEW OF NOTICE LETTERS FROM IBERABANK TO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/6/2011	BBN		0.60	325.00	195.00	WEMFF AND MERCURY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011			0.50	550.00		REVIEW OF FTI PRELIMINARY REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011	AMG		0.60	550.00	330.00	REVIEW OF SUB CON MATERIAL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011	BBN		0.60	325.00	195.00	REVIEW OF MERCURY OPERATING AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF UCC SECTION 9-104, 9106, 9-312, 9-306	
6/7/2011	BBN		1.80	325.00	585.00	AND 9-304	CASE ADMINISTRATION
c /= /2011				=00.00		REVIEW OF REVISED MEMO RE: CENTURY LIEN RE:	
6/7/2011	MER		0.40	500.00	200.00	WEMFF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011	MER		0.30	500.00	150.00	REVIEW OF ISSUES AND MEMO RE: CENTURY LIEN RE: MERCURY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/7/2011	IVILD		0.50	300.00	130.00	REVIEW OF JDD DISKS FOR DOC PRODUCTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/8/2011	BBN		0.30	325.00	97.50	DISKS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
., .,							
6/8/2011	BBN		0.60	325.00	195.00	REVIEW OF DOC PRODUCTIONS DISKS FOR WESTLB	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/9/2011	BBN		0.30	325.00	97.50	REVIEW OF CD FOR WESTLB LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
- 1 - 1						REVIEW OF FRED STEVENS LETTERS TO THE COURT	
6/10/2011	AMG		0.30	550.00	165.00	REGARDING SUB CON ADJOURNMENT REQUEST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/12/2011	A		0.40	FF0.00	220.00	REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM NORTHLIGHT, SEC	CACE ADMINISTRATION
6/13/2011 6/13/2011			0.40	550.00 550.00		REGARDING SUB CONSOLIDATION REVIEW OF FOGERTY LETTER	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/13/2011	AIVIO		0.20	330.00	110.00	NEVIEW OF FOGERTI LETTER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/13/2011	FBR		0.20	475	95.00	REVIEW OF PROPOSED RESPONSE TO GREENBERG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/13/2011			0.10	400.00		REVIEW OF LP EMAILS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/17/2011			0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF FILE RE OP REPORT BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/20/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/20/2011			0.10	400.00		REVIEW OF BALANCE SHEET	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/20/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF EMAILS RE 2004	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/21/2011	BBN		0.40	325.00	130.00	REVIEW OF IMEST END UCC FILE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/21/2011	DDN		0.70	325.00	227.50	REVIEW OF MEMO RE UCC FILINGS AGAINST WEST END ENTITIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/21/2011			0.40	400.00		REVIEW OF ASSET SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/22/2011			0.40	400.00		REVIEW OF ASSET SCHEDULES REVIEW OF CASH LIQUIDITY PET/MATRIX	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0,22,2011			00	100.00	100.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES OF ASSETS RE LLC	0/102/10/11/11/01/
6/22/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	INTERESTS; CHICAGO DIVERSIFIED NOTE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/22/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF LIST OF AFFILIATED DEBTORS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/23/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT RE: EXAMINER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/24/2011			0.40	325.00		REVIEW OF NFA FUNDING II LLC ORG CHART	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/24/2011			0.40	325.00		REVIEW OF NFA EQUIPMENT FUND ORG CHART	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/24/2011	BBN		0.40	325.00	130.00	REVIEW OF MCC FUNDING ORG CHART	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/24/2041	DDN		0.00	225.00	300.00	REVIEW OF NOTES RE WEST END ORG. STRUCTURE	CACE ADMINISTRATION
6/24/2011 6/27/2011			0.80	325.00 315.42		POST JANUARY 2010 TRANSACTION REVIEWED CAPLEASE UCC'S (.6)	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/29/2011			0.60	315.42 400.00		REVIEWED CAPLEASE OCC 5 (.6) REVIEW OF COMMITTEE CORRESP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0, 23, 2011			0.10	-100.00	40.00	REVIEW OF COMMITTEE CORRESP REVIEW OF FTI REPORT WITH NOTES SENT TO	S. SE ADMINISTRATION
7/4/2011	AJG		2.10	315.42	662.37	AMG.(2.1)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/6/2011			0.50	400.00		REVIEW OF PETITION BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/6/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF WEST END DIVIDENDS STRATEGY FILE	CASE ADMINISTRATION

	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE		VALUE	DIARY	CHART
7/6/2011			1.10	550.00		REVIEW OF FIRST INTERIM REPORT OF 1M	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/8/2011 7/8/2011			1.40 0.30	550.00 550.00		REVIEW OF REVISED SUB CON REPORT REVIEW ESCROW ACCOUNTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/11/2011			1.20	550.00		REVIEW OF FTI REPORT AND EXHIBIT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/11/2011			0.80	550.00		REVIEW OF DRAFT FTI REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/12/2011			0.80	315.42		REVIEW OF FTI MODEL (.8)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/15/2011			0.50	400.00		REVIEW OF MERGED CREDITOR SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/15/2011	LS		0.50	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF LP ACCT RECONCILIATIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/19/2011	AMG		0.50	550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF REVISED FTI REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/19/2011	BBN		0.40	325.00	130.00	REVIEW OF CENTURY FILE AND NOTES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF SCHEDULES/LP ACCOUNT	
7/19/2011			0.60	400.00		RECONCILIATIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/20/2011			0.30	550.00		REVIEW OF SUB CON (.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/20/2011			1.50	110.00		REVIEW OF OPERATING REPORTS FOR JUNE REVIEW OF OP REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/20/2011 7/20/2011			0.20	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF ASSET LIST FOR JUNE OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/20/2011	LJ		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF ASSET LIST FOR JONE OF REPORTS REVIEW OF EMAILS TO COURT REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/21/2011	AMG		0.30	550.00	165.00	FOGERTY, BAUM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/22/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF INVESTOR CORRESPONDENCE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/22/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF HESLIN REVISIONS TO OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF DOCKETS RE APPEARANCES; CLOSING	
7/25/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	CASES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/25/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF CASE ASSOCIATIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/25/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF OP REPORTS WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/25/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF IBERIA STIP RE SALE/DEED IN LIEU	CASE ADMINISTRATION
= /2= /2244					400.00		
7/25/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF ORIGINAL SCHEDULES AND ASSET LIST REVIEW OF SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/26/2011 7/26/2011			0.60 0.20	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF SCHEDOLES REVIEW OF APPEARANCES & SERVICE LISTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/26/2011			1.20	550.00		REVIEW OF SUBS CON SOL TRANSCRIPT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/27/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF ASSET LIST - REVISED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/27/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF DOCS AND STATUTES ON MONITOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/28/2011			0.40	550.00		REVIEW OF DIST COURT TRANSCRIPT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/29/2011			0.60	400.00		REVIEW OF RADS OFFERING DOCUMENTS (.6);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/1/2011	BBN		0.80	325.00	260.00	REVIEW OF UCC FILE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/1/2011	LS		0.60	400.00	240.00	REVIEW OF LP RECONCILIATION BINDERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/2/2011			0.70	400.00		REVIEW OF KULISH DOCUMENTS (.7)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/2/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF NOTES TO SCHEDULE REVISIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/2/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF LP RECONCILIATIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF HEDGE ARRANGEMENTS (2.4); REVIEW OF RELATED DZ BANK TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS	
8/3/2011	шее		4.80	400.00	1,920.00		CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/3/2011	HEF		4.00	400.00	1,520.00	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS AND REVIEW AND REVISE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/4/2011	HEF		1.90	400.00	760.00	DRAFT SWAPS PLAN PROVISION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0, 1,						REVIEW OF E-MAIL RE CAPLEASE AND REVIEW	
8/5/2011	BBN		1.20	325.00	390.00	DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF REVISIONS TO SCHEDULES FROM WEST	
8/5/2011	LS		0.80	400.00	320.00	END	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/5/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF UPDATES LPACCOUNT INFO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
- /- /						REVIEW NORTHLIGHT FUND LP AGREEMENTS (1.3);	
8/8/2011			3.50	400.00		REVIEW DELAWARE PARTNERSHIP STATUTES (2.2);	
8/8/2011 8/8/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF DOCKET REVIEW OF LP SPREADSHEET	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/6/2011	L3		0.40	400.00	100.00	REVIEW OF LP SPREADSHEET	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/9/2011	IS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF UPDATED ACCOUNT RECONCILIATIONS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/10/2011			2.40	315.42		REVIEW OF SCHEDULES (2.4)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/10/2011			0.80	110.00		REVIEW SCHEDULES AND SPREADSHEETS FOR LS.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF W.E. REAL ESTATE SCHEDULES AND	
8/10/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	AMENDMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/10/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF DOCKET	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF 3/15/11 STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND	
8/10/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	LIABILITIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/40/0044					40.00	REVIEW OF JUNE 30, 2011 CONSOLIDATED ASSET &	
8/10/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	LIABILITIES STATEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/10/2011	1.0		0.20	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL REVISIONS TO SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/10/2011 8/11/2011			0.30 0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL REVISIONS TO SCHEDULES REVIEW OF BAR DOCS FROM LS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/11/2011	MAIN		0.20	700.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BAR DOCS PROVICE LIST AND SERVICE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/12/2011	RMS		0.30	400.00	120.00	COPY OF RADKE MOTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
, , - =						REVIEW OF AOS FROM AG AND LISTS FOR SAME	
8/12/2011	RMS		0.30	400.00	120.00	AND FILING NOTICES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/15/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SERVICE LIST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/17/2011			0.50	400.00		REVIEW OF TRUST AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/18/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF NEW NORTH LIGHT TERM SHEET	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE		VALUE	DIARY REVIEW OF DZ 6/8/2008 CLOSING BINDER FOR ISDA	CHART
8/22/2011	BBN		2.50	325.00	812.50	AGREEMENTS - NFA FUNDING LLC REVIEW OF DZ 6/8/2008 CLOSING BINDER FOR ISDA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/22/2011	BBN		2.40	325.00	780.00	AGREEMENTS - NFA EQUIPMENT FUND I LP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/22/2011			2.30	400.00		REVIEW OF ALL DOCUMENTS(2.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/22/2011	LN		0.80	110.00	88.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES FOR JULY FOR LS.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF 2008 DZ BINDER FOR PRIOR WATERFALL RE: WHERE CENTURY WOULD BE POSSIBLE PRIME	
8/23/2011	BBN		1.30	325.00	422.50	OF NORTHLIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF EMAILS INCLUDING 04/11/11 EMAIL TO BOB WOODS, 04/12/11 EMAIL TO MARC LOPRESTI,	
8/23/2011	HEF		2.20	400.00	880.00	05/08/11 EMAIL TO ROBERT LEINWAND (2.2); REVIEW OF INSURANCE POLICY RE DISCLOSURE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/23/2011			0.60	425.00		STATEMENT AND FRAUD ISSUE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/23/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF JULY OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/23/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED ASSET LIST REVIEW OF REQUEST RE CENTURY SECURITIES PLEDGEAGREEMENT AND UCC 1 FILINGS AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/24/2011	BBN		1.20	325.00	390.00	REVIEW FILES FOR MATERIALS REVIEW OF HEF EMAILS RE DEC 2009 NORTHLIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/24/2011	BBN		0.50	325.00	162.50	CLOSING BINDER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/24/2011			0.30	110.00		REVIEWED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR LS (.3).	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/24/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF HISTORY WITH AJG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/25/2011	JDD		1.50	425.00	637.50	REVIEW OF PROPOSED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/25/2011	LS		0.50	400.00		REVIEW OF OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/25/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED ASSET LIST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/26/2011			0.70	400.00		REVIEW OF PCEAWITH RRL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/26/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF NOTES RE PCEA REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT REPORTS ON HARD	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/29/2011	AMG		1.50	550.00	825.00	MONEY AND FRANCHISE FUNDS REVIEW OF MEMO RE IBERIA BANK/CENTURY BANK	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/30/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	LOANS REVIEW OF SPREADSHEET RE NET EQUITY INTEREST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/31/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	WONFF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/31/2011	RMS		0.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF NOTES FROM LS TO AMG ON REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/1/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF PCEA REVIEW OF DOCS REGARDING BUDGET AND D.S. BY LISTS WITH LS TO PREPARE FOR SERVICE AND AOS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/9/2011	RMS		0.50	400.00	200.00	FROM LS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/12/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF IRS NOTICES RE TAX RETURNS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/13/2011	BBN		0.60	325.00	195.00	REVIEW OF SYSTEM FOR MEMO RE WATERFALL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/14/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF ASSET & LIABILITIES SCHEDULES REVIEW OF WEST END MORTGAGE FINANCE FUND LP AGREEMENT AND WEST END FIXED INCOME PARTNERS LP AGREEMENT AND THREE (3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/19/2011	HEF		4.90	400.00	1,960.00	NORTHLIGHT FUND LP AGREEMENTS (4.9);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/19/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/19/2011	LS		0.70	400.00	280.00	REVIEW OF PETITION BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/19/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF 1007 AND ASSET/LIABILITIES SCHEDULE REVIEW OF UPDATED CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/19/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES REVIEW OF EMAILS FOR A CROWDER/MERRILL MIPA AND DOCUMENTS FOR DAYLIGHT REPORT AND SUPPORTING SCHEDULES FOR REQUESTED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/20/2011	BBN		0.70	325.00		DOCUMENTS AND EMAIL REVIEW OF ASSET/LIABILITIES SCHEDULE FOR AUG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/20/2011			0.20	400.00		OP REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/20/2011			0.60	400.00		REVIEW OF JUNE AND JULY OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/21/2011			1.70	325.00		REVIEW OF HEF MEMO RE DZ WATERFALL REVIEW OF CD OF DZ BANK JAN 2010 CLOSING FOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/21/2011			2.30	325.00		DETAILS REQUIRED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/21/2011			1.20	325.00		REVIEWOF DZ FLOA WATERFALL LANGUAGE REVIEW OF DRAFTS OF CONSENTS FOR WEST END CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC; UC FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND WEST END FINANCIAL ADVISORS LLC (.8); REVIEW OF DRAFT OF OFFER OF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/21/2011			1.30	400.00		SETTLEMENT OF SENTINEL (.5)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/21/2011			0.20 1.20	400.00		REVIEW OF AUG OP REPORT WITH AMG REVIEW OF IBENA STIP RE WHALER LANE PROPERTY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/21/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE		VALUE	DIARY	CHART
9/21/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF OP REPORTS AND BACKUP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/22/2011	BBN		1.30	325.00	422.50	REVIEW OF ISSUE RE WATERFALL PAYMENT REVIEW OF WEST LB CREDIT AGREEMENT (1.4) AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/22/2011	HEF		2.40	400.00	960.00	FILES (1 .0).	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS (1.2) AND	
0/22/2011	ucr		1 40	400.00	E60.00	EMAILS TO AND FROM MITCHELL GREENE AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/23/2011			1.40	400.00 400.00		FRED RINGEL (.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/23/2011 9/26/2011			0.20 0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF SCHEDULES REVIEW OF PCEA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/26/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF RETURN MAIL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/20/2011			0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF FILE DOCUMENTS AND PROPOSED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/27/2011	HEE		0.90	400.00	360.00	SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT (.9);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/27/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF DOCKETS RE FILING DATES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0, = 1, = 0 = =							
9/27/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF E-FILING RULES RE SERVICE VIA EMAIL REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM HESLIN REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/20/2044	EDD		0.20	475	05.00	REQUESTED CHANGES TO POST-CONFIRMATION	CACE ADMINISTRATION
9/28/2011	FBK		0.20	475	95.00	AGREEMENT (0.2); REVIEW OF EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						AGREEMENT (.4); REVIEW OF AMAGANSETT	
						GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS (.8); AND EMAILS TO	
9/28/2011	HEF		1.40	400.00	560.00	AND FROM DON DEVITT (.2)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/20/2011			1.40	400.00	300.00	REVIEW OF W/E MORTGAGE OPPORTUNITY FUND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/28/2011	HEF		1.20	400.00	480.00	FILE DOCUMENTS (1.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/28/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF PCEA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF DECEMBER 18, 2009 SECOND	
						AMENDMENT TO WESTLB CREDIT AGREEMENT (1	
						.0) AND 09/21/07 WESTLB CREDIT AGREEMENT	
9/30/2011	HEF		2.80	400.00	1,120.00	(1.8)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT LP AGREEMENTS AND	
9/30/2011	HEF		2.80	400.00	1,120.00	LOAN AGREEMENT (2.8); REVIEW OF FIORETTI CVCANDIDATE FOR PA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/3/2011	FBR		0.20	475	95.00	POSITION FROM COMMITTEE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF SECOND AMENDED NOTE FOR REAL	
						ESTATE FUND TO PREPARE DISCUSSION OF	
10/3/2011	FBR		1.80	475	855.00	WATERFALL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/3/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/3/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF ASSET LIST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/3/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF AUG OP REPORT FOR ECF AND UST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/4/2011	BBN		1.20	325.00	390.00	REVIEW OF WEST LB PDF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF NUMBERS WITH AMG AND FBR FOR PROJECTIONS ON DISCO STATEMENTS (1.2)	
10/5/2011	A I C		1.50	315.42	472.12	REVIEWED REORG VS LIQUIDATING OPTION WITH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/5/2011	AJG		1.50	313.42	4/3.12	FBR (1.3) REVIEW OF ISDA MASTER HEDGE AGREEMENT AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						NOTE RE REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLETE	
10/5/2011	RRN		1.10	325.00	357 50	AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/5/2011	DDIN		1.10	323.00	337.30	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/5/2011	HEF		2.80	400.00	1.120.00	THEREWITH (2.8)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					_,	REVIEW OF TREASURY REGULATIONS RE	
10/6/2011	AJG		2.20	315.42	693.91	LIQUIDATING TRUST AND 5 YR TIME LIMITS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF HEF DISCLOSURE STMT RE WEST LB	
10/6/2011	BBN		1.80	325.00	585.00	WATERFALL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF WEST LB 2007 CREDIT AND SECURITY	
10/6/2011	BBN		2.60	325.00	845.00	AGREEMENT FOR KEY TERMS OF LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF NOTES RE WEST LB FACILITY AND	
10/6/2011	BBN		0.70	325.00	227.50	PARTIES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF WEST LB 2007 PURCHASE AGREEMENT	
						FOR TERMS OF MORTGAGE LOAN PURCHASE	
10/6/2011	BBN		1.70	325.00	552.50	BETWEEN MERCURY AND MCC	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF SECOND AMENDMENT TO CREDIT	
10/7/2011			2.10	325.00		FACILITY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/7/2011	HEF		0.50	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF REVISED DRAFT. (.5)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011	DDM		0.00	225.00	105.00	REVIEWOF DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CIRCULATED	CACE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011	BBIN		0.60	325.00	195.00	RE HISTORY REVIEW OF PA CANDIDATE RESUMES AND CV AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011	EDD		1.10	475	E22 E0	TRANSMIT TO HESLIN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011	FDN		1.10	4/3	322.30		CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY KOUFFMAN (.4); REVIEW OF AMAGANSETT REALTY GROUP, LLC	
						OPERATING AGREEMENT (.4); AND AMAGANSETT	
						REALTY HOLDINGS LLC OPERATING AGREEMENT	
10/10/2011	HEF		1.20	400.00	480.00		CASE ADMINISTRATION
-, -,			-			REVIEW OF 15 SOUTHWOOD COURT CONTRACT	
10/10/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	AND RIDER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF APPRAISERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE		VALUE	DIARY	CHART
10/11/2011			1.40	400.00		REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN & LPAGMTS (1.4) REVIEW OF SCHEDULES RE SOUTHWOOD COURT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/11/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	OWNERSHIP; PARCELS AND MORTGAGES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/11/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF TRUSTEE GUIDELINES RE FEE SCHEDULE REVIEW OF WATERFALL SERVING REPORTS FOR AMOUNT OF INTEREST NL HAS TAKEN OUT OVER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/12/2011	AJG		1.10	315.42	346.96	THE LAST 8 MONTHS RE PROJECTIONS (1.1)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/12/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES REVIEW OF DUTY OF CARE DEL CASES CONCERNING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/13/2011			4.30	315.42	,	GP'S. (4.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/14/2011			1.20	400.00		REVIEW OF WESTLB LOAN DOCUMENTS (1.2). REVIEW DZ BANK LOAN AND NORTHLIGHT LP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/14/2011			1.30	400.00		AGREEMENTS (1.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/14/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF SCHEDULES REVIEW OF LP SPREADSHEET RE CONTACT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/14/2011			0.20	400.00		INFORMATION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/14/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF SOUTHWOOD COURT APPRAISALS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/17/2011	AJG		1.30	315.42	410.04	REVIEW OF NL LEGAL BILLS REVIEW OF DZ BANK CONFIRMATION FOR HEDGE AGREEMENTS AND REVIEW NOTES ON ISDA MASTER AGREEMENT TERMS WITH RESPECT TO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/17/2011	BBN		2.40	325.00	780.00	NEW YORK AND LONDON TERMS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/17/2011	FBR		0.20	475	95.00	REVIEW OF PROPOSALS FOR PA INCENTIVES (0.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF RESPONSE RE REQUEST FOR REFERENCE	
10/18/2011	BBN		0.30	325.00	97.50	NUMBER LIST AND UNDERLYING LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/18/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF ASSIGNMENT TO WESOP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/18/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF WESOP SCHEDULES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/19/2011	BBN		0.50	325.00	162.50	REVIEW OF NOTES ON WESTLB FACILITY FROM FILE RE DISCO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/19/2011	RRN		1.70	325.00	552 50	REVIEW OF FIRST AMENDMENT AND DRAFT NOTES RE KEY POINTS RE DISCO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/13/2011	DDIN		1.70	323.00	332.30	REVIEW OF DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND HIGHLIGHT REFERENCES TO MISSING FIRST	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/19/2011	BBN		0.80	325.00	260.00	AMENDMENT REVIEW OF FIRST AMENDMENT TO WESTLB CREDIT AGREEMENT AND CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/19/2011	HEF		0.40	400.00	160.00	NATARAJAN (.4	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/19/2011	RMS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CLAIMS FILED AND LETTERS TO COURT REVIEW OF FIRST AND SECOND AMENDMENT FOR POSSIBLE EXPLANATION OF INCONSISTENCIES RE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/20/2011	BBN		0.80	325.00	260.00	WEST LB REVIEW DRAFT AND REDLINE OF WEST LB	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/20/2011	BBN		0.30	325.00	97.50	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/20/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SERVICE LIST & UPDATED ADDRESSES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/21/2011	HEF		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF NEW DRAFT OF WESTLB WATERFALL SUMMARY FROM BRENDA NATARAJAN.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
40/0:/				045		REVIEW OF N/L LEGAL BILLS FOR REASONABLENESS (1.9); REVIEW OF LOPRESTI POST PETITION BILLS	
10/24/2011			3.00	315.42		FOR REASONABLENESS(1.1) REVIEW OF SIX MONTH POST CONFIRMATION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/24/2011			0.30	475		BUDGET REQUESTED BY NORTHLIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/26/2011			0.30	550.00		REVIEW OF SEC DECISION ON GOULD	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/27/2011 10/27/2011			0.20 0.20	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF RELEASE WITH HEF REVIEW OF SIGNATURES FOR RELEASE WITH HEF	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/27/2011			0.60	400.00		REVIEW OF SIGNATURES FOR RELEASE WITH HEF REVIEW OF SEPTEMBER OPERATING REPORT REVIEW OF REVISED SEPTEMBER OPERATING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/27/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REPORT REVIEW OF WEFA & WESOP SCHEDULES RE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/27/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	SOUTHWOOD COURT, LLC INT & MORTGAGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/27/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF DISCO RE SW COURT MORTGAGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/28/2011			0.40	400.00		REVIEW OF SOUTHWOOD COURT RELEASE REVIEW OF HESLIN EXECUTION PAGES ON	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/31/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	STIPIRELEASE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF AUGUST 19, 2010 SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT AND RELATED DOCUMENTS (1.0);	
11/1/2011	HEF		1.30	400.00	520.00	REVIEW OF DECEMBER 1, 2005 ASSIGNMENT (.3);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/1/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF SUBORDINATION AGT	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
11/7/2011	AJG	1.:	10 315.42	346.96	REVIEWED NEW CASH COLLATERAL STIP FROM N/L.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/8/2011	RRL	0.4	10 550.00	220.00	REVIEW OF COURT TRANSCRIPT RE 11/8 HEARING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW OF ALLOCUTION OF ISRAEL AND MADOFF	
11/15/2011	RRL	0.9	550.00	275.00	RE: MEETING WITH LANDBERG'S LAWYER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/18/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF LANDBERG PLEA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/18/2011		0.6			REVIEW OF LANDBERG PLEA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/21/2011		0.9			REVIEW OF LANDBERG PLEA REVIEWED THE CRANDEL SETTLEMENT WITH THE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/21/2011		0.6			SEC,(.6)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/22/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF OCTOBER OPERATING REPORT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/23/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF CALENDAR REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT OF SEC SETTLEMENT WITH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/29/2011		0.8			LANDBERG (.8); REVIEW OF KRAMER'S DEAL WITH THE SEC RE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/29/2011		0.8			INSURANCE AND HIS RIGHT TO THE POLICY. (.8) REVIEW OF DOCS WITH CLIENT TO EXPLAIN ALL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/30/2011		2.8			CHANGES AND MADE HIS LAST EDITS (2.8) REVIEW OF NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF KRAMER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/1/2011		0.3			STAY MOTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/1/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF SCHEDULING ORDER	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/1/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF OCTOBER OPERATING REPORT REVIEW OF SEPT OP REPORT TO COMPARE WITH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/1/2011	LS	0.1	10 400.00	40.00	OCTOBER REVIEW OF DEFAULTS OF LOANS IN FRANCHISE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/5/2011	AJG	2.4	10 315.42	757.00	FUND. REVIEW OF LOAN AGREEMENTS (2.4) REVIEW OF LETTER TO PROSECUTOR FROM RAY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/9/2011	AMG	1.0	00 550.00	550.00	AND MOORE REVISIONS REVIEW OF EMAIL TO RAY AND NORTH LIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2011	AMG	0.2	20 550.00	110.00	REGARDING DEFAULT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2011		1.3			REVIEW OF DZ LOAN AGREEMENT (1.3) REVIEW OF IVYWOOD LLC AGREEMENT FOR SALE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/20/2011	HEF	0.4	400.00	160.00	OF MEMBERSHIP AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011	AJG	1.0	00 315.42	315.42	REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN DOCS (1.0) REVIEW OF DECEMBER 18, 2009 LOAN AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011	HEF	1.0	00 400.00	400.00	AND ALLONGE, WITH NORTHLIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011		0.9			REVIEW OF DRAFT OF NOTE. WITH NORTH LIGHT REVIEW OF SCHEDULES AND INVESTOR CREDITORS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011		0.3			SCHEDULES REVIEW OF LOAN DOCS RELATED TO UNDERSTAND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/27/2011		2.8			THE DEFAULTS NFA	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/28/2011	LS	0.3	10 400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF RETURN MAIL REVIEW VRP PURCHASE AGREEMENT REGARDING PASS THROUGH OF SWAP PAYMENTS RE NORTH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/3/2012	HEF	0.3	30 400.00	120.00	LIGHT LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/4/2012	AMG	0.3	30 550.00	165.00	REVIEW OF RADKE CLAIM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/4/2012	LS	0.2	20 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF ADMIN WAIVER WITH AMG	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/4/2012	LS	0.:	10 400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF EMAIL RE FTI FEES AND EXPENSES REVIEW OF NOTES AND DIAGRAMS RE LIMITED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/5/2012	BBN	1.2	20 325.00	390.00	RECOURSE FUNDS AND STRUCTURE OF DZ DEAL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/5/2012		0.3	30 400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF SALE MOTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/5/2012		0.4			REVIEW OF EXHIBITS TO SALE MOTION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/6/2012	AJG	1.6	50 315.42	2 504.66	REVIEW OF RADKE FEE APPLICATION (1.6)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/6/2012	HEF	1.4	400.00	560.00	REVIEW OF REVISED DRAFT OF FIRST AMENDMENT TO THIRD AMENDED AND RESTATED FLOA.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					REVIEW NFA ACTION PLAN DATED NOVEMBER 30, 2011 AND UPDATED AS OF DECEMBER 21, 2011 REGARDING JRG, GILLETTE, H&B AND STEWART	
1/6/2012	HEF	0.9	50 400.00	200.00	BROTHERS FRANCHISE LOANS AND PROPOSED COLLECTION PLANS IN RESPECT THEREOF. REVIEW OF JUNE 11,2010 THIRD AMENDED AND RESTATED FRANCHISE LOAN AGREEMENT TO DETERMINE IF ANY MECHANISM ALLOWS FOR DEFAULTED FRANCHISE LOANS TO BE RETURNED TO "ELIGIBLE LOAN" STATUS, LENDER OBLIGATIONS RESPECTING THE DECLARATION OF A DEFAULT AND ANY OTHER POTENTIAL RESPONSIVE ACTIONS TO	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/6/2012	HEF	3.4	400.00	1,360.00	MOST RECENT DECLARATION OF DEFAULT BY DZ BANK.	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	,	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
1/6/2012	RMS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF AOS FOR AMG REGARDING HEARINGS REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF LOAN AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/8/2012 1/9/2012				400.00 550.00		FROM KASOWITZ BENSON FIRM REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT DOCUMENTS REVIEW OF REVISED DRAFT OF NEW NORTH LIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/9/2012	HEF		1.10	400.00	440.00	PLEDGE AGREEMENT FROM KASOWITZ BENSON LAW FIRM. REVIEW OF RECENT CASE LAW RE INSURANCE SETILEMENT E.G 11 CIRCUIT RULING(.6); AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/10/2012	AJG		2.20	315.42	693.91	BRIEFS (1.6) RELATION BACK RE SENTINEL INSURANCE POLICY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/10/2012	HEF		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM ADAM GREENE RE IBERIA (.2); AND JOHN BOUGIAMAS RE IBERIA (.2) REVIEW JANUARY 26, 2010 SERVICING AGREEMENT FOR RESPONSIVE ACTIONS TO DZ CLAIM OF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/10/2012	HEF		2.40	400.00	960.00	DEFAULTED FRANCHISE LOANS. REVIEW EXHIBIT E- POST-CONFIRMATION ESTATE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/10/2012	HEF		2.20	400.00	880.00	AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH NEW IBERIA BANK LOAN DOCUMENTS. REVIEW OF NL SERVICING REPORT FOR LATEST UPDATE RE FRANCHISE DEFAULTS (1.8) REVIEW OF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/11/2012	AJG		2.10	315.42	662.37	PROJECTIONS RE FRANCHISE FUND IN PLAN SUPPLEMENT IN LIGHT OF DEFAULTS (.3) REVIEW OF DRAFT OF SCHEDULE 3.1 (A) TO LOAN AND SECURITY AGREEMENT FROM KASOWITZ	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/11/2012	HEF		0.70	400.00	280.00	BENSON REVIEW OF JUNE 2008 NATIONAL FRANCHISE ACCEPTANCE, LLC SERVICING GUIDELINES FOR PROSPECTIVE CLAIMS AGAINST NFA REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/11/2012			1.60	400.00		NEW DEFAULT LOANS. REVIEW OTTERBOURG DRAFT OF IBERIA BANK	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/12/2012				400.00		PLEDGE AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/13/2012 1/13/2012			0.40 0.50	550.00 550.00		REVIEW OF SEC LETTER REGARDING GOULD SUIT REVIEW OF BALLOTS REVIEW OF DZ BANK 1/2010 CLOSING BINDER FOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/13/2012	BBN		1.00	325.00	325.00	SERVICING GUIDELINES REVIEW OF DZ BANK 6/2008 CLOSING BINDER FOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/13/2012	BBN		1.20	325.00	390.00	SERVICING GUIDELINES REVIEW OF SECTION 2.2(B) OF IBERIA LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/13/2012	HEF		0.20	400.00	80.00	AGREEMENT (0.2)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/16/2012				550.00		REVIEW OF HESLIN PROFER REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF NEW IBERIA BANK	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/16/2012				400.00		LOAN AGREEMENT FROM IBERIA'S COUNSEL. REVIEW LOAN SERVICING GUIDELINES IN DZ BANK	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/17/2012	BRIN		0.20	325.00	65.00	2008 BINDER RE: NFA DEFAULTS REVIEW OF DZ BANK 2008 BINDER FOR SERVICING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/17/2012	BBN		0.70	325.00	227.50	GUIDELINES FOR NFA AND NFA II LOANS REVIEW NORTH LIGHT COMMENTS TO NEW IBERIA LOAN DOCUMENTS AND INTER-CREDITOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/17/2012	HEF		1.60	400.00	640.00	PROVISIONS. REVIEW OF REVISED DRAFT OF IBERIA LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/18/2012			1.00	400.00		AGREEMENT FROM IBERIA'S COUNSEL (1.0); REVIEW OF REVISED DRAFT OF IBERIA PLEDGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/18/2012			0.80	400.00		AGREEMENT FROM IBERIA'S COUNSEL REVIEW OF REVISED IBERIA PROMISSORY NOTE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/18/2012			0.50	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF SECOND REVISED DRAFT OF IBERIA LOAN AGREEMENT FROM MATT MILLER.	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/20/2012				315.42		REVIEW TURN OF IBERIA LOAN DOC SENT BY IBERIA	
1/20/2012			0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT COMMENTS TO LOAN DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
						REVIEW OF REVISED CAPLEASE CREDIT AND	
1/20/2012 1/23/2012			0.80 1.20	400.00 315.42		SECURITY AGREEMENT REVIEW OF MARK UP OF IBERIA LOAN DOCS(1.2)	CASE ADMINISTRATION CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/23/2012	HEF		1.00	400.00	400.00	REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF NEW IBERIA PLEDGE AGREEMENT REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF NEW IBERIA LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/23/2012	HEE		1.60	400.00	640.00	AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/23/2012			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF WUPAC CORRESPONDENCE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/23/2012			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF DOCKET	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/23/2012			0.60	400.00		REVIEW OF NOV AND DEC OP REPORTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					REVIEW FRANCHISE LOAN SERVICING GUIDELINES REGARDING PROSPECTIVE CLAIM VS NATIONAL	
1/24/2012	HEF	2	400.00	960.00	FRANCHISE ACCEPTANCE, LLC REVIEW MORS, REVISE, DISCUSS WITH LS AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/24/2012	KS	0	50 201.35	100.68	HAVE HESLIN EXECUTE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/24/2012	LS	0	20 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF UST INVOICES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/24/2012	LS	0	30 400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF DOCKETS AND CASE CLOSING FLAGS REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF IBERIA LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/25/2012	HEF	1	00 400.00	400.00	AGREEMENT. REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF IBERIA PLEDGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/25/2012	HEF	0	70 400.00	280.00	AGREEMENT. REVIEW REVISED DRAFT OF IBERIA PROMISSORY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/25/2012	HEF	0	50 400.00	200.00	NOTE. REVIEW MOTION FOR SUBSTANTIVE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					CONSOLIDATION RE ISSUES WITH NON DEBTOR	
4/29/2011			50 201.35		ENTITES (.5)	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
5/19/2011	AMG	1	.00 550.00	550.00	REVIEW OF IBERIA DISPUTED CLAIMS REVIEW OF RELIEF FROM STAY STIPULATION	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
6/1/2011	AMG	0	20 550.00	110.00	REGARDING IBERIA REVIEW OF MEMO REGARDING IBERIA, UCC AND	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
6/1/2011	AMG	0	40 550.00	220.00	AVOIDANCE OF CLAIM	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
6/1/2011	LS	0	20 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CLASS ACTION CORRESP/CLAIM NOTICE REVIEW OF IBERIA/CENTURY BACK UP REGARDING	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
5/0/2011			=======		VOID SECURITY INTEREST AND EQUITABLE	0.4.1.4.5.4.5.4.1.1.1.5.5.4.1.4.1.5.4.1.4.1
6/8/2011			50 550.00		SUBORDINATION	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
7/25/2011			20 400.00		REVIEW OF BAR DATE ORDER & NOTICE	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
7/25/2011			400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
7/27/2011			10 400.00		REVIEW OF BAR DATE MOTION	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/3/2011			60 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS/CLAIMS NOTICE	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/8/2011			50 550.00		REVIEW OF CAPLEASE SECURED CLAIM	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/8/2011			80 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REGISTERS AND CLAIMS REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/10/2011			400.00			CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/11/2011 8/25/2011			30 400.00 20 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REVIEW OF CLAIMS WITH LN	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
6/23/2011	L3	0	20 400.00		REVIEW OF CENTURY LOAN FILES AND DOCUMENTS REGARDING CENTURYIIBERIA	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/29/2011			20 550.00		DISPUTED CLAIM	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/29/2011			40 550.00		REVIEW OF IBERIA CLAIM REVIEW OF TRUST AGREEMENT (.4); PLAN (.3) AND	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/30/2011			60 550.00		DISCO (.9)	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
8/31/2011	AMG	0	30 550.00	165.00	REVIEW OF COMPUTATIONS (.3)	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/6/2011	AMG	0	50 550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF JANIS EMAIL AND CENTURY CLAIM (.5) REVIEW OF LOAN DOCUMENTATION RELATIVE TO PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF IBERIA BANK	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/6/2011	FBR	3	.00 475	1,425.00	(3.0);	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/7/2011	LS	0	20 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BAR DATE NOTICE REVISIONS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/7/2011	LS	0	20 400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF DOCKET RE BAR DATE	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/7/2011	LS	0	50 400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF FILED CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/9/2011	LS	0	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/9/2011	LS	0	20 400.00		REVIEW OF DECISIONS RE DISTRIBUTION	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/12/2011			20 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REGISTER	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/15/2011			.80 550.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REPORT REVIEW OF BAR DATE MOTION RETURN MAIL AND	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/15/2011			30 400.00		MASTER SERVICE LIST	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/15/2011			30 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/20/2011			20 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
9/27/2011 9/28/2011			.50 550.00 .30 400.00		REVIEW OF RAKOFF DECISION REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/3/2011			.60 550.00		REVIEW MORTGAGE NOTE AND ASSIGNMENT REGARDING SOUTHWOOD (.6)	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/4/2011			20 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS WITH CL	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/5/2011			20 400.00		REVIEW OF SCHEDULES RE DATAMINING CLAIM	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/7/2011			40 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/10/2011			20 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT PROOF OF CLAIM AND	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/12/2011	AMG	1	30 550.00	715.00	BACK UP	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/12/2011			30 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REVIEW OF PROOFS OF CLAIM OF GOLDSTEIN,	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/13/2011	AMG	0	550.00	275.00	LANDBERG AND CRANDLE	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/14/2011	AMG	n	80 550.00	440.00	REVIEW OF CLAIMS OF IBERIA AND NORTH LIGHT	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/14/2011			90 400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATI	E	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
		, ,				REVIEWED PROOFS OF CLAIM FILED BY: HOFFMAN,	
						MOORE, VENTURE RESTAURANT, HESLIN, BASILE	
40/44/2044			0.50	=== ==		2X, PORTER, CHRONICLES TRUST, BEY, KURZMAN,	
10/14/2011			0.50	550.00 400.00		KAPLAN, SIEGEL, CONTE	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/17/2011 10/18/2011			0.60 0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF CLAIMS REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/10/2011	LJ		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES FOR CLAIMS ANALYSIS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/19/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	PREP	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/19/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF CLAIMS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
10/21/2011			0.40	550.00		REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS FOR IBERIA SETTLEMENT	
10/27/2011 11/14/2011			0.10 0.50	400.00 550.00		REVIEW OF NYC PROOF OF CLAIM REVIEW OF IBERIA SETTLEMENT	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
11/14/2011			0.30	550.00		REVIEW OF IBERIA SETTLEMENT LETTER	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
11,11,2011	,		0.20	330.00	110.00	REVIEW OF EMAIL FOR IBERIA REGARDING	
11/15/2011	AMG		0.30	550.00	165.00	SETTLEMENT	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
						REVIEW OF INSURANCE SETTLEMENT CASE SENT BY $$	
11/21/2011			0.50	550.00		INSURANCE COMPANY	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
11/29/2011			0.60	550.00		REVIEW SEC SETTLEMENT WITH KRAMER (.6)	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
12/7/2011 1/5/2012			0.10 0.60	400.00 550.00		REVIEW OF CONSENT FORM - ADMIN RE WAIVER REVIEW OF INSURANCE CLAIM	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
1/5/2012			0.00	400.00		REVIEW OF INSURANCE CLAIM REVIEW OF RADKE POC	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
2, 0, 2022							
						REVIEW NEW DRAFTS OF LOAN AGREEMENT AND	
1/9/2012	HEF		1.80	400.00	720.00	PLEDGE AGREEMENT FROM KASOWITZ BENSON.	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
1/10/2012			0.40	400.00		REVIEW OF BALLOTS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
1/13/2012	AMG		0.70	550.00	385.00	REVIEW OF RADKE OBJECTIONS	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
						REVIEW OF ARENT FOX FEE APP(1.2); REVIEW OF	
1/13/2012	۸IG		1.60	315.42	504.66	UST GUIDELINES RE HOTEL STAYS (.2) AND TRAVEL	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
1/13/2012			1.00	201.35		REVIEW FTI FEE APPLICATION	CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION AND OBJECTIONS
3/4/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF RETENTION DOCS RE: RB.	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
						REVIEW OF STIPULATION RE 1M FOR PREP OF	
4/6/2011			0.30	400.00		RETENTION APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
4/8/2011	AMG		0.50	550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF RETENTION PAPERS	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
						REVIEW OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS RE RADKE TESTIMONY AND RELATED ITEMS FOR OPPOSITION	
5/13/2011	FBR		2.50	475		TO UST RETENTION OBJECTION	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
3, 13, 2011			2.50	.,,	1,107.00	REVIEW OF ENRON CASE TO PREP FOR HEARING ON	
5/23/2011	FBR		0.50	475	237.50	RETENTION (0.5)	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
5/23/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF UST RETENTION OBJECTION	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
5/26/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF COMMITTEE COUNSEL RETENTION	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
5/27/2011	ıs		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE TO 5MB RE RETENTION	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
6/16/2011			0.20	550.00		REVIEW OF REVISED RETENTION ORDER (.2)	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
6/17/2011			0.30	550.00		REVIEW OF UST EMAIL REGARDING EXAMINER	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
6/17/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF RETENTION ORDER	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
12/20/2011	LS		0.50	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
12/23/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
12/23/2011			0.60	400.00		REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/3/2012			2.30	400.00		REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/4/2012			2.40	400.00		REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/5/2012 1/6/2012			0.20 0.20	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF RADKE RETENTION REVIEW OF ARENT FOX FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/0/2012	LJ		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW ARENT FOX FEE APP. (1.1); REVIEW FTI FEE	TEL/EIVII EOTIVIEIVI ATTEICATIONS
1/6/2012	RRL		1.80	550.00	990.00	APP (.7)	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/9/2012			0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF FEE APP BACKUP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/9/2012	LS		4.00	400.00	1,600.00	REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/10/2012	LS		2.50	400.00	1,000.00	REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/10/2012	10		0.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF TOGUT FEE APP MOTION SHORTENING	FEE /EMADL OVEMENT ADDLICATIONS
1/10/2012			0.10 0.20	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF NOTICE OF FEE RATE CHANGES	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1, 10, 2012			0.20	100.00	00.00	REVIEW HEARING TRANSCRIPT AND DISCUSS WITH	
1/11/2012	KS		0.80	201.35	161.08	RRL	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/11/2012	LS		3.80	400.00	1,520.00	REVIEW OF BILLING FOR FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
						REVIEW OF CREDITOR COMMITTEE OBJECTION TO	
1/16/2012			1.00	550.00		RADKE FEES	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/20/2012 1/25/2012			0.30 1.50	400.00 550.00		PREPARATION OF SUPPLEMENT TO FEE APP REVIEW OF TOGUT FEE APPLICATIONS	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/23/2012	AIVIO		1.50	330.00	323.00	REVIEW OF TOGUT FEE APPLICATIONS REVIEW OF TOGUT FEE APPLICATION AND TOGUT	LECTION LOTWING AT FLICATIONS
						SEGAL FEE APPLICATION (PRELIMINARY	
1/25/2012	FBR		0.40	475		REVIEW)(0.4);	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
1/25/2012	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF TOGUT FEE APP	FEE/EMPLOYMENT APPLICATIONS
2/10/2014	DMC		0.20	400.00	130.00	REVIEW OF CASH COLLATERAL DOCS AND LOAN	FINANCING
3/16/2011	KIVIS		0.30	400.00	120.00	DOCS	FINANCING

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
2/17/2011	DNAC	0.20	400.00	00.00	REVIEW OF LOAN DOCS FROM H.F. FOR CASH	FINIANCING
3/17/2011	KIVIS	0.20	400.00	80.00	COLLATERAL REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT DOCS RE: CASH	FINANCING
3/18/2011	RMS	0.30	400.00	120.00	COLLATERAL	FINANCING
4/20/2011		1.00	475	475.00	REVIEW OF CASH COLLATERAL ORDER	FINANCING
					REVIEW OF FINANCING RULES FOR CASH	
4/20/2011		0.20			COLLATERAL	FINANCING
4/25/2011		0.50			REVIEW OF REVISED CASH COLLATERAL DOCS	FINANCING
4/25/2011 4/26/2011		0.30 1.50			REVIEW OF CASH COLLATERAL ORDER REVIEW OF INTERIM CASH COLLATERAL ORDER	FINANCING FINANCING
4/28/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF LOCAL RULES RE CASH COLLATERAL	FINANCING
,, ==, ====					REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT COMMENTS TO CASH	
4/29/2011	LS	0.40	400.00	160.00	COLLATERAL	FINANCING
= /4.5/2044		0.50	==0.00	.==	REVIEW OF US TRUSTEE OBJECTIONS TO CASH	
5/16/2011 6/1/2011		0.50 0.20			COLLATERAL AND SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION REVIEW OF INTERIM CASH COLLATERAL ORDER	FINANCING FINANCING
6/1/2011	L3	0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM CREDITORS COMMITTEE	FINANCING
6/14/2011	AMG	0.30	550.00	165.00	ON CASH COLLATERAL	FINANCING
					REVIEW OF CASH COLLATERAL STIPULATION RE NL	
8/29/2011	LS	0.20	400.00	80.00	LIENS	FINANCING
10/17/2011		0.60			REVIEW OF EMAIL ON NORTH LIGHT TERM SHEET	FINANCING
10/18/2011 10/27/2011		0.20			REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT PROPOSAL REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM CASHER	FINANCING FINANCING
10/2//2011	AIVIG	0.50	550.00	275.00	REVIEW OF EMAIL REGARDING NORTH LIGHT ON	FINANCING
11/15/2011	AMG	0.30	550.00	165.00	FEE ISSUE	FINANCING
1/9/2012		0.80			REVIEW OF CAPLEASE LOAN DOCUMENTS	FINANCING
1/16/2012	AMG	1.20	550.00	660.00	REVIEW OF DECEMBER NFA FRANCHISE REPORT	FINANCING
					REVIEW OF EMAIL ON IBERIA COMMENTS TO	
1/20/2012	AMG	0.50	550.00	275.00	CAPLEASE LOAN DOCUMENTS	FINANCING
4/20/2042	4446	0.00	FF0 00	440.00	REVIEW OF IBERIA (.3) AND NORTHLIGHT (.5) FINAL	FINIANICINIC
1/20/2012	AIVIG	0.80	550.00	440.00	LOAN DOCUMENTS REVIEW OF JUDGE CASTELL DECISION RE: RADKE'S	FINANCING
3/17/2011	AMG	0.20	550.00	110 00	LETTER	LITIGATION
3/17/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF RADKE LETTER TO INVESTORS	LITIGATION
					REVIEW OF RADKE'S LEITERS TO PREPARE	
3/17/2011		0.40			RESPONSE	LITIGATION
3/17/2011	LS	0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF SEC QUESTIONS	LITIGATION
2/17/2011	1.0	0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF 2004 RULES, LOCAL RULES AND FORMS	LITIGATION
3/17/2011 3/17/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF CASTEL RULING RE RADKE LETTER	LITIGATION
3/17/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF RADKE EMAIL	LITIGATION
3/18/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF 2004 APPLICATION	LITIGATION
					REVIEW OF SEC JOINDER AND FISHER	
3/22/2011	AMG	1.50	550.00	825.00	DECLARATION AND RELATED PAPERS	LITIGATION
2/22/2011	1.6	0.00	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF UST MOTION TO APPOINT TRUSTEE	LITICATION
3/22/2011	LS	0.90	400.00	360.00	AND EXHIBITS REVIEW OF FISHER DECLARATION AND EXHIBITS IN	LITIGATION
3/22/2011	ıs	1.20	400.00	480 00	SUPPORT OF TRUSTEE MOTION	LITIGATION
3/22/2011		0.50			REVIEW OF MASUMOTO 9077 DOC	LITIGATION
3/22/2011	LS	0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF NOA'S FILED	LITIGATION
					REVIEW OF SEC JOINDER TO UST MOTION TO	
3/22/2011	LS	0.20	400.00	80.00	APPOINT TRUSTEE	LITIGATION
2/22/2011	1.0	0.20	400.00	00.00	REVIEW OF ORDER SCHEDULING EVIDENTIARY	LITICATION
3/22/2011	LS	0.20	400.00	80.00	HEARING ON MOTION TO APPOINT TRUSTEE REVIEW OF UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S MOTION	LITIGATION
3/23/2011	IDD	2.00	425.00	850.00	FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A TRUSTEE	LITIGATION
3/23/2011		1.2			REVIEW OF UST MOTION TO APPOINT TRUSTEE	LITIGATION
3/23/2011		0.50			REVIEW OF 1104(A)(I) AND (2) LANGUAGE	LITIGATION
					REVIEW OF FISHER DOC IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	
3/23/2011	LS	0.60	400.00	240.00	TO APPOINT TRUSTEE	LITIGATION
0/00/0044			==0.00	4 04 5 00	REVIEW OF UST MOTIONS AND SEC JOINDER FOR	
3/23/2011 3/24/2011		3.30			APPOINTMENT OF 1104 TRUSTEE	LITIGATION
3/24/2011		0.80 0.60			REVIEW OF RADKE DECLARATION REVIEW OF RADKE DECLARATION	LITIGATION LITIGATION
3/24/2011		0.30			REVIEW RADKE DECLARATION (.3);	LITIGATION
3/24/2011		0.40			REVIEW OF PRE-TRIAL MEMO	LITIGATION
•					REVIEW OF DOCS RE: UST MOTION TO APPT	
3/24/2011		0.20			TRUSTEE	LITIGATION
3/25/2011	LS	0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF EXHIBIT LIST	LITIGATION
2/2=/22:	DA 40	e ==	***	22.5-	REVIEW OF FILES FOR DOCSIFORMS OF FINDING OF	LITICATION
3/25/2011		0.20			FACTS REVIEW OF REVISED DOCS ON LIST MOTION	LITICATION
3/25/2011 3/28/2011		0.30 0.30			REVIEW OF REVISED DOCS ON UST MOTION REVIEW OF SUBPOENAS	LITIGATION LITIGATION
3/28/2011		0.30			REVIEW OF SOBFOLINAS REVIEW OF US/ISEC WITNESS AND EXHIBITS LIST	LITIGATION
, ,						

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATI	Ē	VALUE	DIARY REVIEW OF FISHER 2ND DECLARATION AND	CHART
3/28/2011	LS		0.80	400.00	320.00	EXHIBITS	LITIGATION
3/28/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF RADKE OBJECTION TO DOC DEMAND	LITIGATION
3/28/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF LOCAL RULES RE DISCOVERY DISPUTES	LITIGATION
3/28/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF WATKINS' DECLARATION AND EXHIBITS	
3/28/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF LISTS FOR COURT ON UST MOTION	LITIGATION
3/28/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF DOCS, LISTS FILED BY UST AND LISTS	LITIGATION
3/28/2011			0.80	550.00		REVIEW OF MOVANTS' EXHIBITS	LITIGATION
3/29/2011	AMG		1.20	550.00	660.00	REVIEW OF TRUSTEE PROPOSED FACTS	LITIGATION
3/29/2011	LS		0.80	400.00	320.00	REVIEW OF USTISEC PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT REVIEW OF REVISED FINDING AND FACT AND REPLY	LITIGATION
3/29/2011	RMS		0.20	400.00	80.00	DOCS (2)	LITIGATION
3/29/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF FINAL DRAFT OF SAME WITH LS	LITIGATION
3/30/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF 2004 APPS	LITIGATION
3/30/2011	LS		0.50	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF STATEMENT OF FACTS REVIEW OF DECISIONS GRANTING SUBSTANTIVE	LITIGATION
4/4/2011	ıs		0.60	400.00	240.00	CONSOLIDATION	LITIGATION
4/5/2011			0.70	325.00		REVIEW OF EXHIBITS FOR TRIAL	LITIGATION
4/3/2011	DDIN		0.70	323.00	227.30	REVIEW OF DECISIONS RE SUBSTANTIVE	LITIGATION
4/5/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	CONSOLIDATION	LITIGATION
., 5, 2011			0.50	.00.00	120.00	REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	2
4/6/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	MOTIONS	LITIGATION
4/7/2011			0.60	475		REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT STIP AND EXHIBITS	LITIGATION
4/7/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF STIP RE RADKE RESPONSIBILITY	LITIGATION
7.7							
						REVIEW OF ALL EXHIBIT BINDERS TO SEE WHAT WE	
4/11/2011			0.50	315.42		STILL NEEDED TO INTRODUCE INTO EVIDENCE.(.5)	LITIGATION
4/12/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF TRIAL NOTES	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	
4/13/2011	LS		0.90	400.00	360.00	STANDARDS	LITIGATION
4/42/2044	1.6		0.20	400.00	00.00	REVIEW OF RESPONSES BY LPS TO TRUSTEE	LITICATION
4/13/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	MOTION REVIEW OF PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT RE SUB CON	LITIGATION
4/14/2011	I P		0.60	450	270.00		LITIGATION
4/14/2011			0.00	430	270.00	REVIEW OF 9006 RE EXT OF TIME TO FILE	LITIGATION
4/14/2011	ıs		0.20	400.00	80.00	SCHEDULES AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS	LITIGATION
4/14/2011			0.40	400.00		REVIEW OF 11 04(A)(2) DECISIONS	LITIGATION
., 1 ., 2011			0.10	.00.00	100.00	REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	2
4/14/2011	LS		0.50	400.00	200.00	MOTIONS	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT RE: RADKE'S TESTIMONY	
4/15/2011	AJG		1.10	315.42	346.96	(1.1):	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF SEC COMPLAINT RE EVIDENCE OF CO- MINGLING (0.4); REVIEW OF MADOFF PLEADINGS	
						RE EVIDENCE FOR SUBTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	
4/15/2011	FBR		1.10	475	522.50	(0.7)	LITIGATION
4/15/2011	RRL		0.60	550.00	330.00	REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT	LITIGATION
4/18/2011	AMG		0.60	550.00	330.00	REVIEW OF RADKE LETTER TO COURT AND TO RAY	LITIGATION
			. =-			REVIEW OF BACKUP TO SUBSTANTIVE	
4/19/2011			0.70	400.00		CONSOLIDATION MOTION	LITIGATION
4/19/2011	LS		0.70	400.00	280.00	REVIEW OF PROPOSED FACTS AND 1007	LITIGATION
4/20/2011	LS		0.80	400.00	320.00	REVIEW OF MADOFF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION BRIEF	LITIGATION
., ==, ====						REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	
4/20/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	MOTION WITH AJG	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF OPERATING AGREEMENTS RE: SAME	
4/21/2011	AJG		2.30	315.42	725.45	(2.3)	LITIGATION
4/22/2011			0.50	400.00	200.00	REVIEW OF BILLING MEMO AND EXHIBITS	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF EMAILS RE BACKUP TO SUBSTANTIVE	
4/22/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	CONSOLIDATION	LITIGATION
4/2=/22:	1.6		0.50	400.05	200 5-	REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	LITICATION
4/25/2011	LS		0.50	400.00	200.00	AFFIDAVIT & BACKUP	LITIGATION
4/25/2001	1.0		0.20	400.00	00.00	REVIEW OF HESLIN AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF	LITICATION
4/26/2011			0.20	400.00		SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	LITIGATION
4/27/2011	LRK		2.00	475	950.00	REVIEW OF DAYLIGHT REPORT RE SUBCON	LITIGATION
1/20/2011	ıc		0.20	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF OBJECTION TO EXTENSION TO FILE	LITICATION
4/28/2011	L		0.30	400.00	120.00	SCHEDULES PEVIEW OF DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF	LITIGATION
1/20/2011	ıs		0.80	400.00	220.00	REVIEW OF DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	LITIGATION
4/29/2011	IJ		0.00	400.00	520.00	REVIEW OF 4001 REQUIREMENTS FOR FORM OF	LITIGATION
4/29/2011	ıs		0.50	400.00	200 00	MOTION	LITIGATION
7/23/2011			3.30	-00.00	200.00		2.110/111011

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RA	ITE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
						REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS FROM HESLIN	
F /2 /2011	EDD		2 20	475	1 045 00	REGARDING EVIDENCE SUPPORTING SUBSTANTIVE	LITICATION
5/2/2011 5/2/2011			2.20 0.20	475 400.00		CONSOLIDATION AND CO-MINGLING (2.2); REVIEW OF APPEARANCES	LITIGATION LITIGATION
5/2/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF 1007 DECLARATION	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF EMAIIS AND BANK RECORDS	
						REGARDING COMMINGLING FOR SUBSTANTIVE	
5/2/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	CONSOLIDATION MOTION	LITIGATION
5/3/2011	ıs		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF EMAIL TO UST RE PROPOSAL ON EVIDENTIARY HEARING	LITIGATION
3/3/2011	LJ		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF COMMENTS TO SUBSTANTIVE	LITIGATION
5/3/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	CONSOLIDATION MOTION	LITIGATION
5/4/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF HESLIN DECLARATION WITH AJG	LITIGATION
E/4/2011	10		0.20	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF OBJECTION TO RBLGG&G RETENTION	LITICATION
5/4/2011 5/5/2011			0.30 0.40	400.00 475		REVIEW MOTION AND UST OBJECTION (0.4)	LITIGATION LITIGATION
3/3/2011	TOIN		0.40	473	150.00	NEVIEW MOTION AND OUT OBSECTION (0.4)	EITIGATION
						REVIEW OF DRAFT OF LETTER TO JUDGE BERNSTEIN	
5/6/2011	JDD		0.50	425.00	212.50	AND CONFERENCES RELATING THERETO	LITIGATION
5/9/2011	EDD		1 20	475	C17.F0	REVIEW OF HESLIN DIRECT EXAMINATION FOR 5/10 HEARING	LITICATION
5/9/2011	FDN		1.30	4/3	017.50	REVIEW OF RADKE CORRESP RE REQUEST FOR INFO	LITIGATION
5/9/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	ON FEES	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF BILLING WITH AMG FOR RESP TO	
5/9/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	RETENTION OBJECTION	LITIGATION
						DEVIEW OF EVIDENTIARY DINIDERS AND	
5/10/2011	LS		0.90	400.00	360.00	REVIEW OF EVIDENTIARY BINDERS AND SPREADSHEET OF LP'S. RADS AND NOTEHOLDERS	LITIGATION
3, 10, 2011			0.50	100100	500.00	REVIEW OF NON-DEBTOR LIST FOR SUBSTANTIVE	2.110/11011
5/10/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	CONSOLIDATION MOTION	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	
5/11/2011			0.30	400.00		MOTION FOR CREDITOR DESIGNATIONS REVIEW OF LITIGATION SCHEDULES	LITICATION
5/11/2011 5/11/2011			0.60 0.30	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF CONTRACTS	LITIGATION LITIGATION
3/11/2011			0.50	100100	120.00	REVIEW OF RRL REVISIONS TO SCHEDULE	2.110/11011
5/12/2011	FBR		0.20	475	95.00	DISCLAIMER	LITIGATION
= /40/0044				400.00		REVIEW OF BACKUP (CHECKS; BANK RECORDS) FOR	
5/13/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION MOTION REVIEW OF TRUSTEE TRANSCRIPTS FOR 3.31.11	LITIGATION
5/14/2011	FBR		2.30	475	1,092.50	AND 4.1.11	LITIGATION
					,		
						REVIEW OF BACKUP INFO FOR ASHLEY AND	
5/16/2011	FBR		4.20	475	1,995.00	FRUITVILLE LOANS FOR USE IN SUB CON HEARING	LITIGATION
5/16/2011	IS		0.40	400.00	160.00	REVIEW OF UST OBJECTION TO SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	LITIGATION
3, 10, 2011			0.10	100100	100.00	REVIEW OF BACKUP FOR FRUITVIIIE AND ASHLEY	2.110/11011
5/17/2011	FBR		4.00	475	1,900.00	FURNITURE LOAN	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF IBERIA BANK OPP TO SUBSTANTIVE	
E/17/2011	10		0.00	400.00	360.00	CONSOLIDATION; CASH COLLATERAL & STAY MOTION	LITICATION
5/17/2011	L3		0.90	400.00	300.00	REVIEW OF IBERIA BANK CASH COLLATERAL	LITIGATION
						OBJECTION AND EXHIBITS TO DEVELOP DEFENSE TO	
5/18/2011	FBR		0.80	475	380.00	RELIEF REQUESTED	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF DOCUMENTATION REGARDING	
						VALIDITY OF IBERIA BANK'S SECURITY INTERESTS IN WATERFAIL PAYMENTS INCLUDING REVIEW OF	
						MEMBERSHIP INTEREST PURCHASE AGREEMENT (1	
5/18/2011	FBR		2.20	475	1,045.00	.6) AND NFA FUNDING IIC IIC AGREEMENT (.6)	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION	
5/18/2011	LS		0.40	400.00	160.00	MOTION	LITIGATION
5/23/2011	FBR		1.00	475	475 NO	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO IBERIABANK'S SECURITY INTEREST	LITIGATION
5, 25, 2011			2.00	4/3	475.00	REVIEW OF DOCKETS OF SIMILAR CASES FOR	2.110/411014
						EXAMPLES OF RETENTION IN SIMILAR	
						CIRCUMSTANCES IN SDNY WHICH WERE	
5/24/2011			2.00	475		APPROVED.	LITICATION
5/25/2011	DLA		0.30	315.42	94.62	REVIEWED RADKE'S LETTER TO RB (.3). REVIEW OF 9019 PROVISIONS RE SETTLING SEC	LITIGATION
5/26/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	LITIGATION AND PROCEDURE FOR NOTICE	LITIGATION
6/2/2011			1.00	475		REVIEW DOCUMENTS (1.0);	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF DISCOVERY SUBMISSION SEC DISTRICT	
6/3/2011	AMG		0.30	550.00	165.00	COURT ACTION	LITIGATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	,	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
						REVIEW OF BACKUP MATERIAL ON VALUATION OF POTENTIAL FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE CLAIMS TO	
6/3/2011	FBR	2.0	0	475	950.00	ASSIST FTI WITH VALUATION ISSUES REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS RELATED TO CLAW BACKS	LITIGATION
6/6/2011	FBR	1.0	10	475	475.00		LITIGATION
6/7/2011		1.5		475	712.50	REVIEW OF FTI RECOVERY ANALYSIS REVIEW OF DEPOSIT CONTROL ACCOUNT	LITIGATION
6/7/2011	FBR	0.9	0	475		AGREEMENT FOR ITEREST RESERVE ACCOUNT AND COLLECTION ACCOUNT	LITIGATION
6/10/2011	AJG	3.0	0 31	15.42	252.33	REVIEW OF THE GENEVA SOURCE OF FUNDS DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY HESLIN.(.8)	LITIGATION
6/13/2011	FBR	0.6	0	475	285.00	REVIEW OF REVISED SUB CON MOTION REVIEW OF REVISED CASH COLLATERAL ORDER	LITIGATION
6/13/2011	FBR	0.5	0	475	237.50	FROM NORTHLIGHT	LITIGATION
6/15/2011	FBR	0.2	0	475		REVIEW OF UST PROPOSED ORDER (0.2); REVIEW OF MOTION TO DISPLACE INDEPENDENT	LITIGATION
6/16/2011	FBR	1.0	0	475	475.00	MONITORS	LITIGATION
6/17/2011	FBR	0.3	0	475	142.50	REVIEW OF EXAMINER ORDER	LITIGATION
6/17/2011	FBR	0.2	.0	475	95.00	REVIEW OF RBL RETENTION ORDER (0.2)	LITIGATION
6/17/2011	LS	0.2	.0 40	00.00	80.00	REVIEW OF FEE EXAMINER ORDER	LITIGATION
6/20/2011	LS	0.4	0 40	00.00		REVIEW OF 2004 APPLICATION AND BACKUP REVIEW OF INFO AND BACKUP ON GENEVA	LITIGATION
6/21/2011	FBR	1.5	0	475		TRANSACTION REVIEW OF SUBST CON SOL MOTION RE RELATED	LITIGATION
6/21/2011	LS	0.2	0 40	00.00	80.00	NON-DEBTORS	LITIGATION
6/22/2011	LS	0.2	0 40	00.00		REVIEW OF 2004 DRAFT APP REVIEW OF EXAMINER APPOINTMENT AND	LITIGATION
6/23/2011	FBR	0.3	0	475	142.50	APPLICATION	LITIGATION
6/23/2011	LS	0.2	0 40	00.00	80.00	REVIEW OF ORDER APPOINTING EXAMINER	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF RESEARCH REGARDING D&O PROCEEDS AS PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE; REVIEW OF 5	
						ARTICLES AND ENDOCSCOPY CASE, DOWNEY CASE,	
						ADELPHIA CASE AND WORLD HEALTH CASES ON	
6/24/2011		4.5		475	2,137.50		LITIGATION
6/24/2011	LS	0.3	0 40	00.00	120.00	REVIEW OF COLLIERS RE 2004 RESEARCH	LITIGATION
6/27/2011		0.8	0	475		REVIEW AIG D*O POLICY COVERAGEOF	LITIGATION
6/29/2011	LS	0.3	0 40	00.00	120.00	REVIEW OF 2004 DRAFTS AND NOTES	LITIGATION
7/1/2011	A I C	1.0	ın 31	15.42	315.42	REVIEW OF RADKE LETTER, AND HIS DRAFT REPORT	LITIGATION
7/1/2011	AJG	1.0	0 31	13.42	313.42	REVIEW OF COMMITTEE CHANGES TO COMMON	LITIGATION
7/1/2011	FBR	0.7	0	475	332.50	INTEREST AGREEMENT AND ISSUES WITH SUB CON REVIEW OF RADKE LETTER TO KASTEL AND	LITIGATION
7/1/2011	FBR	1.4	0	475		SUBMISSION TO DISTRICT COURT REVIEW OF COMMITTEE COMMENTS TO SUB CON	LITIGATION
7/5/2011	FRR	0.2	0	475		ORDER	LITIGATION
7/5/2011		0.9		475		REVIEW OF SLOANE REPORT	LITIGATION
7/5/2011		0.1		00.00		REVIEW OF IETTER WITH MEMO ENDORSED ON	LITIGATION
7/3/2011	MINIS	0.1	.0 40	0.00	40.00	REVIEW OF SLOANE DRAFT REPORT AND PARTIAL	LITTO/CITOIN
7/8/2011	FBR	6.0	10	475	2.850.00	REVIEW OF EXHIBITS	LITIGATION
7/12/2011		1.0		475		REVIEW OF FTI RECOVERY ANALYSIS	LITIGATION
7/13/2011		3.2		475		REVIEW OF DRAFT OF EXPERT REPORT	LITIGATION
7/14/2011		0.8		50.00		REVIEW OF RADKE OSC	LITIGATION
7/15/2011	AMG	0.4	0 55	50.00	220.00	REVIEW OF JUDGE CASTEL IETTER ORDER ON RADKE	LITIGATION
7/17/2011		0.4		50.00		REVIEW OF RADKE OSC (.4);	LITIGATION
7/18/2011		0.3		50.00		REVIEW OF FINAL OSC RADKE	LITIGATION
7/18/2011	LS	0.9	0 40	00.00	360.00	REVIEW OF SCHEDULES/BACKUP IN PREP FOR SUBS CONSOL	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT FROM RAY SLOANE FOR HEARING AND REVIEW OF ERRATA	
7/19/2011	FBR	1.6	0	475		SHEET AND REVISED DIAGRAMS	LITIGATION
7/19/2011		0.3		00.00		REVIEW OF LP CORRESP RE SUBS CONSOL	LITIGATION
7/25/2011		0.6		50.00		REVIEW OF RADKE AND SEC RESPONSE (.6);	LITIGATION
•						REVIEWED RADKE PAPERS RE: RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (.8) REVIEWED SEC PAPERS RE:	
7/25/2011	AJG	2.0	0 31	15.42		SAME (1 .2)	LITIGATION
7/25/2011		0.2		00.00		REVIEW OF SUBS CONSOI ORDER	LITIGATION
7/26/2011	FBR	0.8	10	475	380.00	REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON SUB CON	LITIGATION
7/28/2011		0.4		50.00		REVIEW OF RADKE DISTRICT COURT ORDER	LITIGATION
7/28/2011	FBR	0.3	0	475	142.50	REVIEW OF PROPOSED ORDER FOR JUDGE CASTEL	LITIGATION
7/29/2011		0.4		475		REVIEW OF REVISED RADKE ORDER	LITIGATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATI	Ē	VALUE	DIARY REVIEW OF ALL CASES THAT THE INSURANCE	CHART
8/3/2011	AJG		4.50	315.42	1,419.37	COMPANY CITED TO (4.5); REVIEW OF CHANGES TO AGREEMENT WITH	LITIGATION
8/8/2011 8/8/2011			0.30 0.20	475 400.00		CAPLEASE RECEIVED FROM PAUL HUGHES REVIEW OF RADKE DECLARATION REVIEW OF UST OBJ TO DS - LEHMAN IN PREP FOR	LITIGATION LITIGATION
8/24/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	WEST END DSHEARING	LITIGATION
8/26/2011 8/31/2011			0.20 0.40	400.00 400.00		REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT TREATMENT WITH RRL REVIEW OF PCEA	LITIGATION
9/2/2011	FBR		2.30	475	1,092.50	REVIEW OF CENTURY BANK LOAN DOCUMENTS RELATIVE TO MARCH 2, 2009 LOAN	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF MORTGAGE SERVICER REPORTS AND PAYMENTS TO NORTHLIGHT TO DETERMINE AMOUNT OF CLAIMS AS WELL AS REVIEW ISSUES	
9/13/2011 9/20/2011			3.50 0.30	475 400.00		OF FEASIBILITY FOR LOAN PAYMENTS UNDER PLAN REVIEW OF AUGUST OP REPORTS REVIEW OF DISCO RE SUBCON AND JT. ADMIN.	LITIGATION LITIGATION
9/27/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	ORDERS REVIEW OF RAKOFF DECISION AND LIFLAND	LITIGATION
9/28/2011	FBR		2.00	475	950.00	DECISION REGARDING 546(E) ISSUE (2.0) REVIEWED THE COMPETING SONY RULING ON CLAWBACKS, AND "NET WINNERS' AND SIX YRS NY	LITIGATION
10/3/2011	AJG		2.00	315.42	630.83	BS 2YS FED BANK CODE (2.0); REVIEW OF AMAGANSETT REAL TV NOTE EXTENSION AGREEMENT TO ADVISE REGARDING	LITIGATION
10/3/2011	FBR		0.30	475		EXECUTION REVIEW OF DELAWARE CASE LAW RE: DUTY OF	LITIGATION
10/10/2011			0.80	550.00		LOYALTY OWED BY GP REVIEW OF SUB CON MOTION, ORDER AND	LITIGATION
10/10/2011 10/14/2011			0.30 0.40	400.00 400.00		SUPPLEMENTS REVIEW OF KRAMER LIFT-STAY MOTION	LITIGATION
10/19/2011	LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF SUBCON MOTION AND DECLARATION	LITIGATION
10/27/2011	AMG		0.20	550.00	110.00	REVIEW OF DOCUMENT REQUEST (.2)	LITIGATION
10/31/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SOUTHWOOD COURT STIP	LITIGATION
11/2/2011	LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT	LITIGATION
11/2/2011			0.30	400.00		REVIEW OF KRAMER STAY MOTION REVIEW OF KRAMER STAY MOTION AND	LITIGATION
11/3/2011 11/3/2011			0.40	400.00		REQUESTED RELIEF REVIEW OF 362 PROVISIONS IN RELATION TO KRAMER STAY MOTION	LITIGATION
11/4/2011			0.80	400.00		REVIEW OF STAY MOTION	LITIGATION
11/4/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF STAY MOTION	LITIGATION
11/4/2011			0.20	400.00		REVIEW OF OBJECTION TO STAY MOTION	LITIGATION
11/7/2011	FBR		0.50	475	237.50	REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT AND ORDER RE: PREP FOR STATUS CONFERENCE	LITIGATION
						REVIEW OF TWO CLAIMS FILED BY IBERIA AND UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS (1.9) AND REVIEW OF MEMO REGARDING ATTACHMENT OF SECURITY INTEREST (1.0) RE PREP OF SECTION OF DISCO IN	
11/9/2011	FBR		2.90	475	1,377.50	CASE SETTLEMENT IS NOT REACHED REVIEW OF CALENDAR RE UPCOMING HEARINGS	LITIGATION
11/9/2011	LS		0.10	400.00	40.00	AND DEADLINES REVIEW OF TRANSCRIPT FROM MARINO AND ISRAEL ALLOCUTION IN PREP FOR MEETING WITH	LITIGATION
11/10/2011	FBR		1.60	475	760.00	LANDBERG'S COUNSEL REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS AND FEASIBILITY ISSUES	LITIGATION
11/16/2011 11/21/2011			0.60 0.50	475 550.00		FOR HEARINGS REVIEW OF SEC SETTLEMENT PAPERS REVIEW OF INSURANCE CASE RE SETTLEMENT AND	LITIGATION
11/21/2011 11/28/2011			0.20 0.20	425.00 400.00		9019 MOTION REVIEW OF KRAMER STAY MOTION REVIEW OF MATERIALS FORWARDED FROM FRED STEVENS FROM JIM GUY REGARDING INQUIRIES ON	LITIGATION LITIGATION
1/4/2012	FBR		0.60	475	285.00	CONFIRMATION ISSUES (0.6 REVIEW OF DZ PORTFOLIO AND LOAN DOCUMENTS REGARDING DEFAULT AND SETILEMENT	LITIGATION
1/5/2012 1/6/2012			1.20 0.30	550.00 550.00		STIPULATION REVIEW COMMITIEE FEE APP. REVIEW OF POLICY AND DISCUSSION WITH AMG RE	LITIGATION LITIGATION
1/11/2012 4/13/2011			1.10 0.20	425.00 400.00		PREPARATION FOR CONFERENCE REVIEW OF DOCKET RE 341 MEETING	LITIGATION MEETINGS OF CREDITORS/STATUS HEARINGS

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)		RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
4/5/2011			1.80	4		REVIEW OF FIRST DRAFT PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						REVIEW OF RAD AT REQUEST OF AMG TO	
						DETERMINE IF THEY MERIT A SEPARATE CLASSIFICATION IN THE PLAN; REVIEW OF	
4/5/2011	FBR		2.00	4	5 950.00	5%,6%,8% AND 10% RAD (2.0);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
4/19/2011			1.00	550.0		REVIEW OF PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
4/20/2011			0.60	400.0		REVIEW OF PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
5/4/2011 5/18/2011			0.30	400.0 400.0		REVIEW OF DRAFT OF PLAN REVIEW OF PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
6/27/2011			0.10	400.0		REVIEW OF EXCLUSIVITY ORDER	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/2/2011			0.20	400.0	0 80.00	REVIEW OF FILING DATES FOR PLAN AND DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
- /- /						REVIEW OF CASHER PLAN PROPOSAL-REVIEW	
8/5/2011 8/15/2011			1.10 0.30	400.0		DRAFT LANGUAGE REVIEW OF FBR NOTES RE PLAN AND DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/16/2011			0.70	400.0		REVIEW OF PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/16/2011			0.40	400.0	0 160.00	REVIEW OF FBR NOTES RE DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/18/2011	LS		2.60	400.0	0 1,040.00	REVIEW OF PLAN WITH RRL	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/18/2011	15		0.50	400.0	0 200.00	REVIEW OF POST-CONFIRMATION TRUST AGREEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/18/2011			0.40	400.0		REVIEW OF TRUST AGREEMENT WITH RRL	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/23/2011			0.20	400.0		REVIEW OF PROVISIONS TO PLAN AND D.S. WITH LS	
8/26/2011 8/26/2011			0.40	400.0 400.0		REVIEW OF PLAN REVIEW OF DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
0/20/2011	L3		0.60	400.0	0 240.00	REVIEW OF BISCO REVIEW OF REQUESTED CHANGES MADE TO POST	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						CONFIRMATION ESTATE AGREEMENT BY AMG (1	
8/29/2011	FBR		1.10	47	5 522.50	•	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						REVIEW OF HESLIN COMMENTS ON DISCO (0.5)	
8/29/2011	FRR		0.90	47	5 427.50	AND REVIEW AND REVISE DISCO TO INCORPORATE CHANGES (.4)	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/29/2011			0.60	4		REVIEW OF REVISED PLAN (.6);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/29/2011			0.60	400.0		REVIEW OF PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/29/2011	LS		0.50	400.0	0 200.00	REVIEW OF HESLIN COMMENTS TO DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/29/2011	ıs		0.20	400.0	0 80 00	REVIEW OF FBR RESPONSE RE CLARIFICATION OF IBERIA TREATMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
0/23/2011			0.20	400.0	0 00.00	REVIEW OF RAY'S COMMENTS TO DISCLOSURE	TENTAND DISCLOSORE STATEMENT
8/30/2011	FBR		0.20	47		STATEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/30/2011			0.30	400.0		REVIEW OF HESLIN COMMENTS TO DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/30/2011 8/30/2011			0.30	400.0 400.0		REVIEW OF HESLIN COMMENTS TO PLAN REVIEW OF ASSET CHART - DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/30/2011			0.60	400.0		REVIEW OF PLAN AND DISCO WITH FBR	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
8/31/2011			0.40	550.0	0 220.00	REVIEW OF FINAL D.S. AND TRUST DOCUMENTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN DOCUMENTS	
						REGARDING TREATMENT OF EXCESS WATERFALL PAYMENTS AND POSSIBLE CHANGE IN TREATMENT	
9/1/2011	FBR		2.20	4	5 1,045.00	UNDER PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/1/2011	LS		0.40	400.0	0 160.00	REVIEW OF PLAN & DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
0/6/2011	FDD		0.50	4-	. 227.50	REVIEW OF CV'S FROM PLAN ADMINISTRATOR	DI ANI AND DISSI OSUDE STATEMENT
9/6/2011 9/6/2011			0.50	400.0		CANDIDATES SUGGESTED BY COMMITTEE REVIEW OF PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/6/2011			0.10	400.0		REVIEW OF EMAIL RE PLAN REVISIONS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						REVIEW OF DISCO RE SCHEDULE OF ASSETS AND	
9/6/2011 9/7/2011			0.30	400.0		LIABILITIES PENJEW OF CENTURYURERIA SET OFF CLAIMS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/7/2011	AIVIG		0.80	550.0	0 440.00	REVIEW OF CENTURYIIBERIA SET OFF CLAIMS REVIEW OF LOCAL RULES RE PLAN & DISCO AND	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/7/2011	LS		0.30	400.0	0 120.00	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE HEARING	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						REVIEW OF PLANIDISCO AND SEC NOTES AND	
9/8/2011 9/9/2011			0.30	400.0		REVISIONS REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS, LIQ ANALYSIS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/12/2011			0.40	400.0 550.0		REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS, LIQ ANALYSIS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/12/2011			0.40	400.0		REVIEW OF LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/12/2011			0.40	400.0		REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/12/2011			0.20	400.0		REVIEW OF DISCO RE ASSETS AND CLAIMS TABLE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/13/2011 9/13/2011			0.20	400.0 400.0		REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS - REVISED REVIEW OF LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS - REVISED	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/14/2011			0.20	400.0		REVIEW OF ESTIMATED RECOVERY ANALYSIS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
						REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS FROM NORTHLIGHT	
						REGARDING FRANCHISE LOAN MATURITIES AND	
9/15/2011	FBR		0.70	47	5 332.50	DISPOSITION OF NFA FUNDS (AS DEFINED IN CASH COLLATERAL STIP (0.7);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
5, 15, 2011			5.75	4.	_ 332.30		SISSESSONE SIMILARENT
						REVIEW OF CORNEAU'S ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
9/16/2011	FBR		0.30	47	5 142.50	ON POST-CONFIRMATION ESTATE (0.3);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/20/2011	FBR		0.30	47	5 142 50	REVIEW OF PROPOSED PLAN ADMINISTRATOR CVS FROM FRED STEVENS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
5,20,2011	. 511		0.50	4.	5 142.30		. 2 / NO DISCLOSORE STATEMENT

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY REVIEW OF PROPOSAL FROM NORTHLIGHT	CHART
9/22/2011	FBR	0.9	0 475	427.50	REGARDING PLAN TREATMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/27/2011	AMG	0.4	0 550.00	220.00	REVIEW OF REVISIONS TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT REVIEW OF REVISED PLAN AND DISCLOSURE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/27/2011	RRL	1.1	0 550.00	605.00	STATEMENT REVIEW OF NL PROPOSAL AND DISCUSS ISSUES WITH AMG IN PREP FOR CALL TO CASHER AND	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/28/2011	FBR	1.2	0 475	570.00		PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/28/2011		0.4			REVIEW OF DISCO REVISIONS REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT OFFER AND DISC WITH	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
9/28/2011		0.6			AMG RE NORTH LIGHT TREATMENT UNDER PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/3/2011 10/6/2011		0.2 0.5			REVIEW OF DISCO RE ASSET LIST REVIEW OF REV PROC 94.45	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/6/2011		0.4			REVIEW OF REVISED MCC WATERFALL DESCRIPTION	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/10/2011	1.0	0.1	0 400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF PLAN, DISCO RE EXHIBIT FILING DEADLINE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/10/2011	LS	0.1	0 400.00	40.00	REVIEW OF PLAN & DISCO RE PLAN SUPPLEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/10/2011		0.2			PROVISIONS REVIEW OF PLAN/DISCO RE PLAN SUPPLEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/11/2011		0.5				PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/17/2011 10/17/2011		2.0 0.4			REVIEW OF NL PLAN PROPOSAL REVIEW OF DISCO - REVISED	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/17/2011		0.4			REVIEW OF PROJECTIONS FOR PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF REVISED TERM SHEET FROM	
10/18/2011	FBR	0.3	0 475	142.50	NORTHLIGHT REVIEW OF COMMITTEE COMMENTS TO DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/18/2011	LS	0.2	0 400.00	80.00	AND PCEA REVIEW OF FIRST AMENDMENT TO WEST LB NOTE TO DETERMINE IF MODIFICATIONS TO DISCLOSURE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/19/2011	FBR	0.6	0 475	285.00	STATEMENT WERE NECESSARY	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/20/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF REVISED PROJECTIONS REVIEW OF BBN WATERFALL DESCRIPTION RE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/20/2011	MEB	0.6	0 500.00	300.00	DISCO STATEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/24/2011		0.4			REVIEW OF FINAL CHANGES TO NL TERM SHEET	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/27/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF REVISION TO PLAN FROM CASHER	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
10/28/2011		2.3		,	REVIEW OF NL PROPOSED CHANGES TO PLAN REVIEW OF COMMENTS FROM CASHER ON FIRST AMENDED PLAN (.9)	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF AMENDED PLAN AND DISCLOSURE	
11/4/2011		1.2			STATEMENT REVIEW OF AMENDED PLAN AND NORTHLIGHT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/8/2011	AMG	0.8	0 550.00	440.00	EMAIL REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT COMMENTS TO PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/8/2011	FBR	0.4	0 475	190.00	(0.4);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/9/2011		0.8			REVIEW OF AMENDED PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/9/2011		0.6			REVIEW OF AMENDED TRUST AGREEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/10/2011 11/13/2011		0.4 0.4			REVIEW OF AMENDED D.S. (.3) ;AND TRUST (.1) REVIEW OF KASSOWITZ COMMENTS ON PLAN	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/14/2011		0.8			REVIEW OF AMENDED PLAN (.3); AND D.S. (.5)	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/14/2011		0.7			REVIEW OF AMENDED TRUST AGREEMENT REVIEW OF ALL AMENDED DOCUMENTS FOR FILING PLAN (.3); DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (.3); AND	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/15/2011	AMG	1.2	0 550.00	660.00	TRUST DOCUMENT (.6)	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/15/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF REVISED IBERIA BANK LEITER	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/17/2011	AMG	1.2	0 550.00	660.00	REVIEW OF AMENDED PLAN, D.S. AND TRUST DOCUMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/17/2011	AMG	0.2	0 550.00	110.00	REVIEW OF EMAIL ON POC ADDITIONAL MEMBERS REVIEW NL COMMENTS (0.4); REVIEW COMMITTEE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/17/2011	FBR	0.9	0 475	427.50	COMMENTS (0.5)	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/18/2011		0.3			REVIEW OF SEC COMMENTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/18/2011		0.5			REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT OBJECTION TO D.S.	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/21/2011		0.6 0.4			REVIEW OF AND REVISE D.S. REVIEW OF FOGERTY OBJECTION	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/21/2011					REVIEW OF STEINS COMMENTS AND JACOBSON	
11/23/2011		0.4			COMMENTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/30/2011		0.1			REVIEW OF IBERIA TREATMENT RE:PLAN (.1) REVIEW OF BRIAN'S COMMENTS ON REVISIONS TO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/30/2011 11/30/2011		0.2 0.2			DISCO (0.2); REVIEW OF PLAN & DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
11/30/2011		0.2			REVIEW OF PLAN & DISCO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/7/2011		0.5			REVIEW OF BOCKET REVIEW OF PLAN, DISCO AND EXHIBITS WITH AJG FOR PREPARING SOLICIT PACKAGE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
/,/2UII		0.5	00.00	200.00		MINIS SIGNESTATEMENT

Schedule A

DATE ATT	Y TIME (hrs)	RATE	١	/ALUE	DIARY	CHART
12/12/2011 LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF SOLICITATION DOCS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/13/2011 LS		1.40	400.00	560.00	REVIEW OF SOLICITATION MATERIALS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/13/2011 LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF PLAN AND DISCO RE EXHIBITS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF FINAL REVIEW OF SOLICITAION	
					PACKAGE INCLUDING DISCO, PLAN, PLAN	
					SUPPLEMENT EXHIBITS, POSTO-CONFIRMATION	
					ESTATE AGREEMENT; BALLOTS AND NOTICE OF	
12/15/2011 FBR	l	1.20	475	570.00	NON-VOTING STATUS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/19/2011 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF AOS RE SOLIC PACKAGE	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/20/2011 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SOLIC PACKAGE & SERVICE LIST	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/23/2011 LS		0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/27/2011 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/28/2011 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF HEF'S COMMENTS ON NL NOTE AND	
12/29/2011 FBR	l	0.80	475	380.00	LOAN AGREEMENT	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
12/30/2011 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF NORTH LIGHT PROPOSED PLAN	
1/6/2012 FBR	l	0.60	475	285.00	MODIFICATIONS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF CHANGES TO PLAN REQUESTED BY	
					MILBANK TWEED REPRESENTING CERTAIN	
1/6/2012 FBR	l .	0.20	475		INVESTORS (0.2);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/6/2012 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF DOCS FOR FEE APPLICATIONS	
1/6/2012 RMS	S		400.00		REGARDING BILLINGS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/9/2012 LS			400.00		REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/11/2012 LS		0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF CLAIMS AND APPLICATIONS FILED WITH	
1/13/2012 RMS	S		400.00		COURT REGARDING FEES AND DOC	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/17/2012 LS			400.00		REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/18/2012 LS			400.00		REVIEW OF BALLOTS	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/23/2012 FBR		0.20	475		REVIEW BLACK LINE (0.2);	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
1/23/2012 FBR	l	0.40	475	190.00	REVIEW OF COMMITTEE CLASSIFICATION MEMO	PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
					REVIEW OF PROPOSED FILINGS INCLUDING REVIEW	
- 1- 1					TRANSACTION DOCUMENT LITIGATION SCHEDULES	
3/8/2011 HEF			400.00	120.00		CASE PREPARATION
3/9/2011 RMS			400.00		REVIEW OF DOCS WITH LS REGARDING SAME	CASE PREPARATION
3/15/2011 HEF			400.00		REVIEW OF ORGANIZATIONAL CHART (1.0);	CASE PREPARATION
3/15/2011 RMS	S	0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF DOCS AND PETITIONS FOR FILING	CASE PREPARATION
0/45/0044 0046					REVIEW OF DOCKETS REGARDING ASSIGNMENTS	
3/16/2011 RMS	5	0.20	400.00	80.00	AND CASE	CASE PREPARATION
0/00/0044 1155					REVIEW OF FILE FOR TRANSCRIPT OF INVESTORS	
3/23/2011 HEF	-	0.60	400.00	240.00	MEETING (.6)	CASE PREPARATION
0/00/0044 0044				400.00	25.45.4.25.4.25.4.25.24.72.4.25.24.72.2	DISMISSAL, VENUE, ABSTENTION, AND
3/22/2011 RMS	S	0.30	400.00	120.00	REVIEW OF UST MOTION TO APPOINT OR CONVERT	
0/00/0044					DELUCIA DE CEO DO CO IN CUIDA DE CEO DE COMO D	DISMISSAL, VENUE, ABSTENTION, AND
3/22/2011 RMS	5	0.20	400.00	80.00	REVIEW OF SEC DOCS IN SUPPORT OF UST DOCS	WITHDRAWAL
0/00/0044					DELUE: 1. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	DISMISSAL, VENUE, ABSTENTION, AND
3/23/2011 RMS			400.00		REVIEW OF PORTIONS OF REPLY TO UST MOTION	WITHDRAWAL
	6	78.00		282,541.69		

ATTORNEY	BLENDED RATE
AMG	550
AJG	315.4151851
BBN	325
FBR	475
HFF	400
JDD	425
KS	201.3524937
LN	110
LP	450
LS	400
MEB	500
RMS	400
RRL	550

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO INQUIRIES FROM MERRILL INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCH GREENE	
3/9/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	AND BRENDA NATARAJAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/10/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	ATTENTION TO MERRILL INQUIRIES INCLUDING REVIEW OF FILE INFORMATION ATTENTION TO MERRILL INQUIRIES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/14/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	TELEPHONE CALL WITH MITCH GREENE AND EMAIL TO RAY HESLIN ON SAME ATTENTION TO BANKING MATTERS INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/21/2011	HEF	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00	REVIEW OF FILE DOCUMENTS AND TELEPHONE CALLS (1.0);, ATTENTION TO BANKING ISSUES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/22/2011	HEF	1.8	\$400.00	\$720.00	ATTENTION TO BANKING ISSUES (1.8) ATTENTION TO OPERATIONAL ISSUES INCLUDING TELEPHONE CALLS WITH, AND EMAILS TO AND FROM, CAROL GLOSPIE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/23/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	(NEWCO SERVICES) (.3); ATTENTION TO REQUESTS FROM DON DEVITT RE: NFA INCLUDING TELEPHONE CALL FROM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/25/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	DON DEVITT (.2); ATTENTION TO GENERAL PARTNER AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/31/2011	HEF	1.7	\$400.00	\$680.00	MANAGER ELECTION ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS ATTENTION TO NFAINORTHLIGHT SWAPS AND OTHER PAYMENTS ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM DON DEVITT AND MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/6/2011	HEF	0.8	\$400.00	\$320.00	GREENE (.8);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO SWAPS ISSUED BY NORTHLIGHT	
4/7/2011	HEF	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00	INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FRO BOB WOODS, DON DEVITT AND A. MITCHELL GREENE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/7/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	ATTENTION TO MERRILL SERVICING FEES ISSUE INCLUDING EMAIL FROM ALAN PLESKOW (.2); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT ARRANGEMENT INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH ADAM GREENE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/11/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	(.7); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT DISPUTE RE SWAPS AND OTHER PAYMENTS INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/12/2011	HEF	1.2	\$400.00	\$480.00	CONFERENCES WITH RAY HESLIN AND A MITCHELL GREENE (1.2); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT SWAPS ISSUES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/13/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH A MITCHELL GREENE (.7); ATTENTION TO ISSUES RAISED RE: OPERATING AGREEMENTS & VOTING PROVISIONS IN BANKRUPTCY TRUSTEE'S MOTION INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH A. MITCHELL GREENE;	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/13/2011	HEF	2.8	\$400.00	\$1,120.00	ROBERT R. LEINWAND AND ADAM J. GREENE (2.8); ATTENTION TO NFA SWAPS ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH A. MITCHELL GREENE RE:	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/14/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	NL COLLATERAL (.5); ATTENTION TO ISSUES RAISED BY JUDGE BERNSTEIN REGARDING RAY HESLIN MANAGEMENT OF UC FAMILY LP AND WEST END FUNDS INCLUDING CONFERENCES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/14/2011	HEF	0.1	\$400.00	\$40.00	WITH A. MITCHELL GREENE, ROBERT R. LEINWAND AND KAVNEET SETHI ATTENTION TO ISSUES REGARDING WEST END CASH LIQUIDITY FUND INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH A MITCHELL CREENE AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/15/2011	HEF	0.6	\$400.00	\$240.00	CONFERENCES WITH A. MITCHELL GREENE AND RAY HESLIN (.6);	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO ISSUE RAISED BY JUDGE BERNSTEIN REGARDING LIMITATIONS ON LIMITED PARTNERS FROM HAVING MANAGEMENT ROLES IN WEST END ENTITIES INCLUDING REVIEW OF APRIL 12, 2011	
4/15/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	TRANSCRIPT (1.1) ATTENTION TO ISSUES RAISED BY JUDGE BERNSTEIN INCLUDING PROOFREAD AND REVISE FIRST DRAFT OF MEMO ADDRESSING PRESUMED INTERIM NATURE OF RAY HESLIN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/17/2011	HEF	2.1	\$400.00	\$840.00	APPOINTMENT AND SUPPOSED LIMITATIONS ON LIMITED PARTNERS HOLDING CONTROL POSITIONS IN WEST END FUNDS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
, ,					ATTENTION TO SWAPS ISSUES INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM RYAN LUSIC (NFA	
4/18/2011	HEF	0.6	\$400.00	\$240.00	LOANS), RAY HESLIN, DON DEVITT, A. MITCHELL GREENE AND JAYAN KRISHNAN (DZ BANK) (.6) ATTENTION TO ISSUES RAISED BY JUDGE BERNSTEIN INCLUDING RESEARCH REGARDING LIMITING CLAUSES ON	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/18/2011	HEF	3.3	\$400.00	\$1,320.00	TERMS OF OFFICERS, GENERAL PARTNERS AND MANAGERS (3.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/19/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	ATTENTION TO DEBTOR TIERS INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (.2); ATTENTION TO CASH COLLATERAL ISSUES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/20/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	INCLUDING REVIEW OF DRAFT OF INTERIM ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF CASH COLLATERAL ATTENTION TO ISSUES RAISED BY JUDGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/20/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	BERNSTEIN INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (.7);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/26/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	ATTENTION TO MEMO ADDRESSING ELECTION AND LIMITATIONS ON LIMITED PARTNERS ISSUES RAISED BY JUDGE BERNSTEIN (.7)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
4/27/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	ATTENTION TO CASE STRATEGY INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH ROBERT LEINWAND AND JOHN D'ERCOLE.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO CASE PREPARATION INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH A. MITCHELL GREENE	
4/28/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	MITCHELL GREENE AND JOHN D'ERCOLE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/2/2011	HEF	0.8	\$400.00	\$320.00	ATTENTION TO CASE PREPARATION INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH ADAM GREENE (.8)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO CASE PREPARATION INCLUDING REVIEW OF FILE DOCUMENTS REGARDING RISK	
5/2/2011	HEF	1.5	\$400.00	\$600.00	ADJUSTED DEBT NOTES (1.5) ATTENTION TO CASE PREPARATION INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH FRED RINGEL AND ADAM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/16/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	GREENE. ATTENTION TO CASE PREPARATION INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/17/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	CONFERENCES WITH A. MITCHELL GREENE, FRED RINGEL AND LORI SCHWARTZ ATTENTION TO CENTURY CLAIM INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/23/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA NATARAJAN (.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
5/25/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	ATIENTION TO CENTURY LIEN CLAIM INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA NATARAJAN (.5);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATIENTION TO AUDIT INQUIRY INCLUDING REVIEW OF NOTATED BILLING MEMORANDUM	
5/25/2011	HEF	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00	AND CORRESPONDING DAILY TIME SHEETS (1.0)	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO CLAIMED CENTURY LIEN INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MARSHALL	
- ((4	4	BERNSTEIN AND BRENDA NATARAJAN RE:	
5/26/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	POLISHING MEMO ATTENTION TO BASILE CLAIM REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					SOUTHWOOD COURT PROPERTIES LLC	
5/26/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	INCLUDING TELEPHONE CALL WITH DON DEVITT (.4)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO PROPOSED FUSION TRANSACTION INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH	
					A. MITCHELL GREENE; EMAILS TO LEE PERSHAN	
5/26/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	AND MEGAN PETRUS (.2); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT COLLATERAL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT	
					LOAN AGREEMENT REGARDING WEST END SPECIAL OPPORTUNITY FUND AND WEST END	
C/4/2044			4400.00	440.00	CASH	
6/1/2011	HEF	0.1	\$400.00	\$40.00	LIQUIDITY FUND (. 1); ATTENTION TO PROPOSED FUSION	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/2/2011	urr	0.5	\$400.00	¢200.00	TRANSACTION INCLUDING REVIEW OF CLIENT DOCUMENTS (.5);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/2/2011	ПЕГ	0.3	\$400.00	\$200.00	DOCUMENTS (.3),	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO INQUIRIES FROM FTI CONSULTING INCLUDING CONFERENCE CALL	
6/3/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	WITH RAY HESLIN AND MARK GREENBERG (.4)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO CENTURY LIEN ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA	
6/3/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	NATARAJAN (.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO ISSUES RE: CENTURY LIENS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA	
6/6/2011	HEE	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	NATARAJAN REGARDING DRAFT OF MEMO (.3);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/0/2011		0.5	Ş 4 00.00	Ş120.00	ATTENTION TO ISSUES REGARDING MERRILL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/6/2011	HEF	1.4	\$400.00	\$560.00	HOLDINGS IN NFA FUNDING LLC AND RELATED ITEMS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT AND WEST LB	
6/6/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	ATTENTION TO CENTURY CLAIM TO COLLATERAL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011	HEE	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	FROM WE/MERCURY INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (.5);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/7/2011	1161	0.5	3400.00	3200.00	ATTENTION TO REQUESTS FROM FTI	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011	HEF	0.6	\$400.00	\$240.00	CONSULTING ATTENTION TO FUSION MATTER INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/7/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO REQUESTS OF FTI CONSULTING INCLUDING REVIEW OF FILES, PREPARATION OF	
C /0 /2011	uce	1.6	¢400.00	¢640.00	DOCUMENTS AND RESPONSES	CACE ADMINISTRATION
6/8/2011	HEF	1.6	\$400.00	\$640.00	ATTENTION TO CENTURY CLAIMS REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/8/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	WEST END/MERCURY ATTENTION TO SERVICER ISSUES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/21/2011	HEF	2.8	\$400.00	\$1,120.00	REVIEW OF SERVICER AGREEMENTS (2.8)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/21/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	ATTENTION TO CAPLEASE ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH ADAM GREENE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT AND WESTLB	
6/24/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH A MITCHELL GREENE AND JOHN D'ERCOLE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					A TIENTION TO NORTH LIGHT MA TIERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA	
6/27/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	NATARAJAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/28/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	ATTENTION TO CAPLEASE ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH ADAM GREENE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
,				,	ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT MATTERS	
					INCLUDING REVISE DOCUMENTS AND EMAIL TO ADAM GREENE AND ROBERT LEINWAND RE: ML	
6/28/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	& ITS INTEREST IN NFA	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY ATTENTION TO KULISH MATTERS INCLUDING	CHART
6/29/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	TELEPHONE CALL WITH ELLEN BURKE (1.1)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
6/30/2011	HEF	0.6	\$400.00	\$240.00	ATTENTION TO KULISH MATTERS INCLUDING CONTINUED PREPARATION OF DOCUMENTS ATTENTION TO FTI CONSULTING REQUESTS FOR	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/5/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	INFORMATION INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM FRED RINGEL, ESQ.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/6/2011	HEF	0.8	\$400.00	\$320.00	ATTENTION TO FTI CONSULTING DOCUMENT REQUESTS INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS AND EMAILS TO AND FROM FRED RINGEL, ESQ. AND MEGAN PETRUS, ESQ. ATTENTION TO FTI DOCUMENTS REQUESTS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
7/7/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	INCLUDING COMPILE CERTAIN REQUESTED DOCUMENTS AND EMAIL TO FRED RINGEL, ESQ. ATTENTION TO PREPARATION OF BANKRUPTCY PLAN INCLUDING WORK WITH ADAM GREENE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/1/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	ON NORTHLIGHT AND THEIR LIENS. ATTENTION TO INSURANCE COVERAGE CLAIM INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH JOHN D'ERCOLE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/1/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	AND ADAM GREENE RE: SENTIALS AFFILIATE V. SUBSIDIARIES (.4);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/1/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	ATTENTION TO CENTURY MATTER INCLUDING REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM BRENDA NATARAJAN ATTENTION TO PLAN INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH FRED RINGEL REGARDING CAP LEASE AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/2/2011	HEF	1.3	\$400.00	\$520.00	KULISH MATTERS(1.3);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO PREPARATION OF BANKRUPTCY PLAN INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH FRED RINGEL AND MITCHELL	
8/3/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	GREENE (.2); WORK ON PLAN ISSUES INCLUDING ATTENTION TO SWAP BREAKAGE ISSUES INCLUDING SEVERAL CONFERENCES WITH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/4/2011	HEF	1.3	\$400.00	\$520.00	FRED RINGEL AND MITCHELL GREENE (1.3); ATTENTION TO BANKRUPTCY PLAN INCLUDING REVIEW OF WEST END REAL ESTATE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/5/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	DOCUMENTS (1.1); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT AND CAP LEASE INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/8/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	GREENE, FRED RINGEL, ADAM GREENE AND LEE PERSHAN (.3);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/9/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS (1 .1); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCHELL GREENE, ADAM GREENE AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/10/2011	HEF	1.7	\$400.00	\$680.00	ERIC KORSTEN OF FOCUS CAPITAL ATTENTION TO SWAPS AND NORTHLIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/19/2011	HEF	1.2	\$400.00	\$480.00	COLLATERAL ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (1.2); ATTENTION TO SWAPS ISSUE IN RESPECT TO NORTHLIGHT CLAIMS INCLUDING CONFERENCES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/22/2011	HEF	0.1	\$400.00	\$40.00	WITH BRENDA NATARAJAN AND ADAM GREENE (.1) ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT CLAIMS RE: SWAP PAYMENTS INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS; REVIEW OF EMAILS INCLUDING 04/11/11 EMAIL TO BOB WOODS, 04/12/11 EMAIL TO MARC LOPRESTI, 05/08/11	CASE ADMINISTRATION
8/23/2011	HEF	2.2	\$400.00	\$880.00	EMAIL TO ROBERT LEINWAND (2.2);	CASE ADMINISTRATION

Schedule B

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO ISSUES REGARDING NORTH	
					OF DECEMBER 18,	
					2009 LOAN DOCUMENTS (.8); NORTH LIGHT	
					DISTRESSED REAL	
					ESTATE FUND LP AGREEMENT (.4); FOUR (4)	
					NORTHLIGHT TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE AGREEMENTS (1.9);	
					NORTHLIGHT	
					FOOD FRANCHISE FUND LP AGREEMENT	
					(1.0);NORTHLIGHT FOOD FRANCHISE FUND II, LP AGREEMENT (.5);	
					AND	
					NORTHLIGHT EQUIPMENT FUND I, LP	
8/24/2011	HEF	4.9	\$400.00	\$1,960.00	AGREEMENT (.3);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO NORTH LIGHT CLAIMS INCLUDING REVIEW DECEMBER 2009 LOAN	
9/8/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	AGREEMENT (1.1);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO BANKRUPTCY PLAN MATTERS	
					INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH FRED RINGEL REGARDING FORMS OF	
					PROMISSORY NOTE AND SECURITY AGREEMENT	
					FOR	
- / /				44	NORTHLIGHT, IBERIA BANK AND CAPLEASE	
9/12/2011	HEF	0.6	\$400.00	\$240.00	SERVICES CORP. ATTENTION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ISSUES	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCHELL	
					GREENE (.7) AND FRED RINGEL	
9/13/2011	HEF	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00	(.3) ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING 2	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					CONFERENCES W/ A. MITCHELL GREENE (.7) &	
9/16/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	(.4)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING	
					REVIEW OF AUGUST 2011 WATERFALL REPORTS (2.6); AND	
					DECEMBER 18,	
9/18/2011	HEF	4.1	\$400.00	\$1,640.00	2009 NORTHLIGHT LOAN AGREEMENT (1 .5)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO EXAMINER INQUIRIES INCLUDING REVIEW OF	
					CORRESPONDENCE WITH KATIE KADLEC AT	
					NATIONAL	
9/19/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	FRANCHISE ACCEPTANCE, LLC (1 .1); ATTENTION TO WESTLB QUESTIONS INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/26/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (.5);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
0/27/2011		0.3	ć 400 00	¢00.00	ATTENTION TO WESTLB QUESTIONS INCLUDING	CACE ADMAINISTRATION
9/27/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	CONFERENCE WITH FRED RINGEL (.2); ATTENTION TO SOUTHWOOD COURT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					TRANSACTION INCLUDING EMAIL FROM DON	
9/27/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	DEVITT (.1); EMAIL TO MITCHELL GREENE (.2)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO W/E MORTGAGE OPPORTUNITY FUND LP ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF	
					DECEMBER 15,2003 PROMISSORY NOTE FROM	
					CHICAGO DIVERSIFIED FOODS CORP (.4).;	
					REVIEW OF W/E MORTGAGE OPPORTUNITY	
					FUND FILE DOCUMENTS (1.2); EMAILS TO AND FROM DON DEVITT (.2); AND MITCHELL GREENE	
9/28/2011	HEF	2.0	\$400.00	\$800.00		CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO CHICAGO DIVERSIFIED FOODS PROMISSORY NOTE INCLUDING REVIEW OF W/E MORTGAGE OPPORTUNITY FUND LP DOCUMENTS (1.7); DETERMINE APPLICABLE CURRENT FLOATING INTEREST RATE (1.0); CONFERENCE WIFH LEE PERSHAN RE NOTE & WHETHER WIFH COULD ACCELERATE	
					SAME (.2); EMAILS TO AND FROM DON DEVITT, MITCHELL GREENE AND LEE PERSHAN RE: ACCELERATION OF THE LOAD.	
9/29/2011	L HEF	3.1	\$400.00	\$1,240.00	ATTENTION TO SOUTHWOOD COURT SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/30/2011	l HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	EMAILS TO AND FROM MITCHELL GREENE (.3); ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT WESTLB ISSUES INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM MARC LOPRESTI (.3); REVIEW OF DECEMBER 18, 2009 SECOND AMENDMENT TO WESTLB CREDIT AGREEMENT (1.0) AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
9/30/2011	L HEF	3.1	\$400.00	\$1,240.00	09/21/07 WESTLB CREDIT AGREEMENT (1.8). ATTENTION TO PROPOSED AMAGANSETT SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/3/2011	L HEF	0.6	\$400.00	\$240.00	REVIEW OF UNDERL YING DOCUMENTS ATTENTION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PREPARATION INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCHELL GREENE (.2); AND FRED RINGEL REGARDING AMENDED WESTLB CREDIT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/3/2011	L HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	AGREEMENT (.3). ATTENTION TO AMAGANSETT MATTER INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/4/2011	L HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	GREENE ATTENTION TO ISSUES RELATING TO DZ BANK HEDGE AGREEMENTS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/5/2011	L HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	GREENE (.2); ATTENTION TO FORM PROMISSORY NOTES AND MORTGAGES TO NORTHLIGHT, CAPLEASE AND CENTURY INCLUDING EMAILS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/6/2011	L HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	TO AND FROM FRED RINGEL (2); ATTENTION TO AMAGANSETT MATTER INCLUDING LETTER FROM ROBERT KOUFFMAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011	L HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	(.2); ATTENTION TO ISSUES PERTAINING TO NORTHLIGHT MANAGEMENT FEES INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH ADAM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/10/2011	L HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	GREENE. ATTENTION TO PROPOSED SOUTHWOOD COURT TRANSACTION INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM DON DEVITT (.2) AND CONFERENCE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/12/2011	l HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	WITH MITCHELL GREENE. (.2) ATTENTION TO PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN INCLUDING CONFERENCE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/12/2011	L HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	WITH ADAM GREENE. ATTENTION TO SWAP AGREEMENT ISSUES INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM DON DEVITT (.2) AND CONFERENCE WITH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/12/2011	L HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	MITCHELL GREENE (.1) ATTENTION TO ISSUES REGARDING SWAP AGREEMENTS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL GREENE AND ADAM	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/13/2011	L HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	GREENE (.4);	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO NORTHLIGHT CLAIM FOR DEFAULT INTEREST INCLUDING REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT LOAN DOCUMENTS (1.2) AND CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL GREENE	
					AND ADAM	
10/13/2011	HEF	1.5	\$400.00	\$600.00	GREENE (.3). ATTENTION TO ISSUES REGARDING SWAP	CASE ADMINISTRATION
10/14/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	AGREEMENTS INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM JAYAN KRISHNAN (.3)	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO HEDGE AGREEMENT BREAKAGE ISSUES INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM JAYAN KRISHNAN (DZ BANK) (.5); AND BRENDA	
10/18/2011	HEF	0.8	\$400.00	\$320.00	NATARAJAN (.3); ATTENTION TO HEDGE AGREEMENT BREAKAGE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH BRENDA NATARAJAN AND	
					MITCHELL GREENE (.2); EMAILS TO AND FROM BRENDA	
10/19/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	NATARAJAN AND EMILY DEVILLA (.2). ATTENTION TO SOUTHWOOD COURT MATTERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
11/1/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	GREENE AND LORI SCHWARTZ (.3):	CASE ADMINISTRATION
, _, _,			,	,	ATTENTION TO SOUTHWOOD COURT MATTER INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCHELL	
11/2/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	GREENE (.2); AND LORI SCHWARTZ (.1);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO SOUTHWOOD COURT	
					TRANSACTION INCLUDING EMAILS AND LETTERS TO AND FROM BOB KAUFMAN, JOE KENEALLY	
11/3/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	AND PECONIC ABSTRACT (.7);	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/5/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	ATTENTION TO PROPOSED LOAN MODIFICATION INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH ADAM GREENE.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO PROPOSED LOAN MODIFICATION INCLUDING REVIEW OF NORTHLIGHT	
12/5/2011	HEF	1.8	\$400.00	\$720.00	DISCUSSION OUTLINE OF CERTAIN KEY LOAN RESTRUCTURING TERMS.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12, 3, 2011		110	φ (σσίσσ	Ψ720.00	ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT OF	
12/13/2011	HEF	1.4	\$400.00	\$560.00	MEMBERSHIP INTEREST PURCHASE AGREEMENT.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS	
12/13/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH ADAM GREENE. ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/13/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	GREENE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/12/2011	uee	0.1	¢400.00	¢40.00	ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/13/2011	HEF	0.1	\$400.00	\$40.00	INCLUDING EMAIL TO MITCHELL GREENE ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/13/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	INCLUDING REVIEW PROPOSED CONSENTS TO NORTH LIGHT MEMBER.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
, -, -			,	,	ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW NORTHLIGHT FILE INCLUDING JANUARY, 2010 SIDE	
12/13/2011	HEF	1.2	\$400.00	\$480.00	LETTER AGREEMENT REGARDING FEES.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS	
12/13/2011	HEF	0.7	\$400.00	\$280.00	INCLUDING REVIEW PROPOSED CONSENTS ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW 12/13/11 NORTH LIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					MEMO REGARDING MCC	
12/13/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	FUNDING PERFORMANCE.	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY	CHART
					ATTENTION TO PROPOSED KULISH TRANSFERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL GREENE REGARDING ABOVE	
12/13/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	NORTHLIGHT MEMO. ATTENTION TO PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF DZ BANK CREDIT FACILITY INCLUDING REVIEW OF DRAFT OF FIRST AMENDMENT TO THIRD	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.8	\$400.00	\$320.00	(3RD) AMENDED AND RESTATED FRANCHISE LOAN ORIGINATION AGREEMENT. ATTENTION TO PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF DZ BANK CREDIT FACILITY INCLUDING REVIEW	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00	OF NORTH LIGHT FILE FOR JUNE 11,2010 FRANCHISE LOAN AGREEMENTS ATTENTION TO PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF DZ BANK CREDIT FACILITY INCLUDING REVIEW OF JANUARY 26,2010 SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED FRANCHISE LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	1.4	\$400.00	\$560.00	ORIGINATION AGREEMENT ATTENTION TO PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF DZ BANK CREDIT FACILITY INCLUDING EMAIL TO MITCHELL GREENE REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	DRAFT OF AMENDMENT ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW OF SCIOTO LLC AGREEMENT REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	TRANSFERS. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW OF BURGUNDY LLC AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	REGARDING TRANSFERS. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW OF 90 LLC AGREEMENT REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	TRANSFERS. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW OF EASTON RIDGE LLC AGREEMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	REGARDING TRANSFERS. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING REVIEW OF IVYWOOD	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	LLC AGREEMENT REGARDING TRANSFERS. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL GREENE REGARDING ABOVE	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH FRED RINGEL REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	ABOVE TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING EMAIL FROM ADAM GREENE AND REVIEW NEW	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	DRAFT. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	ADAM GREENE. ATTENTION TO WEST END REAL ESTATE FUND TRANSFERS INCLUDING EMAIL FROM RAY	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2013	HEF	0.1	\$400.00	\$40.00	HESLIN. ATTENTION TO PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF DZ BANK CREDIT FACILITY INCLUDING REVIEW OF JANUARY 26, 2010 SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED FRANCHISE LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/14/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	FUNDING AGREEMENT.	CASE ADMINISTRATION

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING	CHART
12/16/2012	HEF	0.1	\$400.00	\$40.00	ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING EMAIL FROM MITCHELL GREENE REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2012	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING EMAIL TO MARK HIRSCHHORN REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDMENT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING TELEPHONE CALL WITH RAY HESLIN REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDMENT ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	TELEPHONE CALL WITH MITCHELL GREENE REGARDING SHUT-OFF OF WATERFALL.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2011	UFF	0.2	¢400.00	¢90.00	ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING TELEPHONE CALL WITH RAY HESLIN REGARDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2013	. HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	SHUT-OFF OF WATERFALL. ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM MARK HIRSCHHORN REGARDING SHUT-OFF OF	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	WATERFALL.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM MARK HIRSCHHORN AND ADAM GREENE REGARDING	
12/16/2011	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	CONFERENCE CALL. ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2013	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	WORK ON EMERGENCY ISSUES REGARDING DEFAULT FRANCHISE LOANS. ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCE CALL WITH NORTHLIGHT AND	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2012	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	MATT STEN REGARDING DEFAULTED FRANCHISE LOANS ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/16/2013	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	TELEPHONE CALL WITH RAY HESLIN AND ADAM GREENE REGARDING FRANCHISE LOANS NOW IN DEFAULT. ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING	CASE ADMINISTRATION
					ATTENTION TO WATERFALL ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCHELL GREENE REGARDING EMERGENCY ISSUES	
12/16/2011	HEF	0.2	\$400.00	\$80.00	FROM DEFAULTS ATTENTION TO NEW NORTH LIGHT LOAN INCLUDING EMAILS TO AND FROM MITCHELL	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	GREENE, ADAM GREENE AND FRED RINGEL REGARDING SAME.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011	HEF	2.3	\$400.00	\$920.00	ATTENTION TO NEW NORTH LIGHT LOAN; BEGIN REVIEW OF DRAFT OF LOAN AGREEMENT. ATTENTION TO NEW NORTH LIGHT LOAN; BEGIN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/22/2011	HEF	2.2	\$400.00	\$880.00	REVIEW OF SECOND AMENDED PLAN ATTENTION TO NEW NORTH LIGHT	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/23/2012	HEF	1.3	\$400.00	\$520.00	TRANSACTION INCLUDING CONTINUED REVIEW OF DRAFT OF LOAN AGREEMENT. ATTENTION TO NEW NORTHLIGHT TRANSACTION INCLUDING CONTINUED REVIEW	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/23/2011	HEF	2.0	\$400.00	\$800.00	OF SECOND AMENDED PLAN OF LIQUIDATION. ATTENTION TO NEW NORTHLIGHT LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/28/2011	HEF	3.9	\$400.00	\$1,560.00	INCLUDING PREPARE COMMENTS TO LOAN AGREEMENT ATTENTION TO NEW NORTHLIGHT LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/28/2012	HEF	1.2	\$400.00	\$480.00	INCLUDING PREPARE COMMENTS TO PLEDGE AGREEMENT ATTENTION TO NEW NORTH LIGHT LOAN	CASE ADMINISTRATION
12/28/2012	HEF	0.3	\$400.00	\$120.00	INCLUDING PREPARE COMMENTS TO NEW NOTE	CASE ADMINISTRATION

Schedule B

DATE	ATTY	TIME (hrs)	RATE	VALUE	DIARY ATTENTION TO NEW NORTH LIGHT LOAN INCLUDING EMAILS TO MITCHELL GREENE, FRED RINGEL AND ADAM GREENE REGARDING DRAFTS OF NEW LOAN	CHART
12/28/2011	HEF	0.4	\$400.00	\$160.00	DOCUMENTS. ATTENTION TO FROZEN DZ WATERFALL INCLUDING REVIEW OF NEW DRAFT OF PROPOSED THIRD AMENDED AND RESTATED	CASE ADMINISTRATION
1/5/2012	HEF	1.0	\$400.00	\$400.00	LENDER FEE LETTER AGREEMENT.	CASE ADMINISTRATION
3/16/2011	HEF	1.1	\$400.00	\$440.00	ATTENTION TO PROSPECTIVE CASH COLLATERAL AGREEMENT WITH NORTH LIGHT INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS (1.1)	FINANCING
					ATTENTION TO NON-COLLATERALIZED ASSETS OF WEST END ENTITIES INCLUDING REVIEW OF DZ BANK CREDIT FACILITY DOCUMENTS, NORTHLIGHT LOAN DOCUMENTS AND VRP	
3/17/2011	HEF	1.5	\$400.00	\$600.00	TRANSFER DOCUMENTS (1 .5) ATTENTION TO ISSUES IN RESPECT OF SWAPS AND RADS INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS	ASSET ANALYSIS AND RECOVERY
3/21/2011	HEF	2.2	\$400.00	\$880.00	(2.2) ATTENTION TO HOLDINGS IN KULISH ENTITIES	ASSET ANALYSIS AND RECOVERY
3/7/2011	HEF	0.5	\$400.00	\$200.00	INCLUDING REVIEW OF FILE DOCUMENTS (.5) ATTENTION TO RADS ISSUES INCLUDING REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS AND CONFERENCES WITH MITCH GREENE AND	CASE PREPARATION
3/21/2011	HEF	1.8	\$400.00	\$720.00	LEE PERSHAN ON SAME. ATTENTION TO CLAIMS OF DURESS INCLUDING CONFERENCE WITH MITCH GREENE AND BOB LEINWAND, REVIEW OF	CASE PREPARATION
3/24/2011	HEF	1.5	\$400.00	\$600.00	CRANDALL DOCUMENTS (1 .5); ATTENTION TO RESPONSE TO U.S. TRUSTEE'S MOTION INCLUDING PREPARATION OF	CASE PREPARATION
3/25/2011	HEF	2.0	\$400.00	\$800.00	DOCUMENTS	CASE PREPARATION
					ATTENTION TO LITIGATION ISSUES INCLUDING CONFERENCES WITH MITCH GREENE, ADAM GREENE, RAY HESLIN AND LAURA	
3/28/2011	HEF	0.6 134.9			NASTRO (.6);	CASE PREPARATION

Schedule C

Date		Timekeeper	Description	Hours	
	3/15/2011	•	Reviewed investor emails and voicemails		1.5
	3/16/2011		Drafted and sent out email to West End investors re: bankruptcy		2.0
	3/18/2011		Check investor emails and summarize same		1.0
	3/18/2011		Assist with email to investors		1.0
	3/18/2011		Review correspondence regarding developments		1.0
	3/21/2011	-	Respond to investor emails and phone calls		1.0
	3/24/2011		Emails and telephone calls regarding bankruptcy		2.0
	3/24/2011	O'Neill	Drafted additional emails to investors		2.2
	3/24/2011		Review and respond to investor emails		1.0
	3/28/2011	Unger	Conference with Radke regarding developments		1.0
	3/28/2011		Review of materials filed in bankruptcy court proceeding		3.0
	4/4/2011	Unger	Review of information provided by West End		1.5
	4/4/2011	Radke	Review and respond to investor email		1.0
	4/5/2011		Conference with Unger on developments		2.0
	4/18/2011	Unger	Review of materials for inclusion in preliminary report of Independent Monitor		1.5
	4/19/2011	Unger	Review of materials for inclusion in preliminary report of Independent Monitor		1.5
	4/21/2011		Review of additional materials received from Company		1.0
	5/9/2011	-	Review recent bankruptcy filings		1.0
	5/9/2011	-	Preparation for trip to attend court proceedings		1.0
	5/24/2011	-	Preparation for bankruptcy hearing		1.5
	6/1/2011	-	Review of recent bankruptcy filings		0.5
	6/6/2011	-	Review of materials filed in bankruptcy proceeding		1.0
		_	Review of recent bankruptcy court filings and compared to information provided directly		
	6/13/2011	Unger	by company		1.0
	7/20/2011		Conference with government attorneys regarding developments		1.0
	7/21/2011		Research on Independent Monitor status issues		1.0
	7/21/2011	Radke	Work on memorandum in response to motion to show cause		2.5
	7/22/2011	Unger	Work on draft reply brief		1.0
	7/26/2011	Radke	Preparation for 7/27 District Court hearing, reviewed briefs		2.5
	7/26/2011	Radke	Reviewed West End Reply brief		1.0
	7/26/2011	Unger	Reviewed West End reply brief and related filings		2.5
	7/27/2011		Preparation for court hearing		2.5
	7/27/2011	Radke	Conference with Unger and government attorneys		1.0
	7/27/2011	Unger	Preparation for court hearing		1.5
	7/27/2011	Unger	Conference with Radke and government attorneys		1.0
	9/7/2011		Preparation for 9/8 bankruptcy court hearing		1.0
	9/8/2011	Unger	Preparation for bankruptcy court hearing		1.0
	9/8/2011	Unger	Preparation at bankruptcy court hearing		1.5
	9/8/2011	Radke	Preparation for bankruptcy court hearing		3.0
	9/8/2011	Radke	Preparation in bankruptcy court hearing		1.5
			Attorney	Rate	
			Brown		\$595
			Radke		\$525
			Unger		\$487
			Angelich		\$450
			O'Neill		\$235
			Utlik		\$325