UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
In Re:	:	
	:	
Application for Exemption from the Electronic	:	General Order M-644
Public Access Fees by Sara Canilang,	:	
	:	
	:	
	X	

This matter is before the Court upon the application and request by Sara Canilang (the "Applicant") for exemption from the fees imposed by the Electronic Public Access Fee Schedule adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States Courts.

The Court finds, based upon the attached letter received on November 25, 2024, that the Applicant has demonstrated that an exemption is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.

Accordingly, the Applicant shall be exempt from the payment of fees for access via PACER to the electronic case files maintained in this Court to the extent such use is incurred in connection with the project described in the attached letter and application. The Applicant shall not be exempt from the payment of fees incurred in connection with other uses of the PACER system in this Court.

Additionally, the following limitations apply:

- 1. This fee exemption applies only to the Applicant, and is valid only for the purposes stated above.
- 2. This fee exemption applies only to the electronic case files of this Court that are available through the PACER system;
- 3. By accepting this exemption, the Applicant agrees not to sell for profit any data obtained as a result of receiving this exemption.
- 4. This exemption is valid from November 25, 2024 through November 25, 2026.

This exemption may be revoked at the discretion of the Court at any time. A copy of this Order shall be sent to the PACER Service Center.

Dated: December 2, 2024 New York, NY

/s/ Martin Glenn

MARTIN GLENN Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge

Application for Multi-Court Exemption from the Judicial Conference's Electronic Public Access (EPA) Fees

1.) I am requesting an exemption from fees for public access to electronic case records for the courts selected below:

Courts of Appeal

Bankruptcy Appellate Panels (BAP)

] All Courts of Appeal	Seventh Circuit	First Circuit - BAP	
] First Circuit	🔲 Eighth Circuit	🔲 Sixth Circuit - BAP	
] Second Circuit	🔲 Ninth Circuit	🔲 Eighth Circuit - BAP	
] Third Circuit	Tenth Circuit	🔲 Ninth Circuit - BAP	
Г] Fourth Circuit	Eleventh Circuit	🔲 Tenth Circuit - BAP	
	-] Fifth Circuit	D.C. Circuit		
	-] Sixth Circuit	Federal Circuit		
Dieta	rict Courts			
Disti	_			
	All District Courts			
	Alabama Middle	Illinois Northern	Nebraska	Rhode Island
	Alabama Northern	Illinois Central	🗌 Nevada	South Carolina
	Alabama Southern	Illinois Southern	New Hampshire	South Dakota
	Alaska	Indiana Northern	New Jersey	Tennessee Eastern
	Arizona	Indiana Southern	New Mexico	Tennessee Middle
	Arkansas Eastern	Iowa Northern	New York Eastern	Tennessee Western
	Arkansas Western	🔲 Iowa Southern	New York Northern	Texas Eastern
	California Central	🔲 Kansas	New York Southern	Texas Northern
	California Eastern	Kentucky Eastern	🗋 New York Western	Texas Southern
	California Northern	Kentucky Western	North Carolina Eastern	Texas Western
	California Southern	🔲 Louisiana Eastern	North Carolina Middle	🔲 Utah
	Colorado	Louisiana Middle	North Carolina Western	Vermont
	Connecticut	🔲 Louisiana Western	North Dakota	Virgin Islands
	Delaware	🔲 Maine	Northern Mariana Islands	Virginia Eastern
	District of Columbia	Maryland	Ohio Northern	Virginia Western
	Florida Middle	Massachusetts	Ohio Southern	Washington Eastern
	Florida Northern	Michigan Eastern	Oklahoma Eastern	Washington Western
	Florida Southern	Michigan Western	🔲 Oklahoma Northern	🔲 West Virginia Northern
	Georgia Northern	Minnesota	🔲 Oklahoma Western	West Virginia Southern
	Georgia Middle	Mississippi Northern	🔲 Oregon	Wisconsin Eastern
	Georgia Southern	🔲 Mississippi Southern	Pennsylvania Eastern	🔲 Wisconsin Western
	Guam	Missouri Eastern	Pennsylvania Middle	U Wyoming
	Hawaii	Missouri Western	Pennsylvania Western	
	Idaho	 Montana	Puerto Rico	

Bankruptcy Courts

All Bankruptcy Courts							
\boxtimes	Alabama Middle	X	Illinois Northern	\boxtimes	Nebraska	\times	Rhode Island
\boxtimes	Alabama Northern	\boxtimes	Illinois Central	\boxtimes	Nevada	\boxtimes	South Carolina
\times	Alabama Southern	\boxtimes	Illinois Southern	\mathbf{X}	New Hampshire	\mathbf{X}	South Dakota
\boxtimes	Alaska	\boxtimes	Indiana Northern	\mathbf{X}	New Jersey	\boxtimes	Tennessee Eastern
\times	Arizona	\boxtimes	Indiana Southern	\boxtimes	New Mexico	\boxtimes	Tennessee Middle
\times	Arkansas Eastern	\boxtimes	Iowa Northern	\boxtimes	New York Eastern	\boxtimes	Tennessee Western
\boxtimes	Arkansas Western	\boxtimes	Iowa Southern	\boxtimes	New York Northern	\boxtimes	Texas Eastern
\boxtimes	California Central	\boxtimes	Kansas	\mathbf{X}	New York Southern	\boxtimes	Texas Northern
\boxtimes	California Eastern	\boxtimes	Kentucky Eastern	\mathbf{X}	New York Western	\boxtimes	Texas Southern
\boxtimes	California Northern	\boxtimes	Kentucky Western	\boxtimes	North Carolina Eastern	\boxtimes	Texas Western
\boxtimes	California Southern	\mathbf{X}	Louisiana Eastern	\times	North Carolina Middle	\boxtimes	Utah
\boxtimes	Colorado	\mathbf{X}	Louisiana Middle	\times	North Carolina Western	\boxtimes	Vermont
\boxtimes	Connecticut	\boxtimes	Louisiana Western	\boxtimes	North Dakota		Virgin Islands
\boxtimes	Delaware	\boxtimes	Maine		Northern Mariana Islands	\mathbf{X}	Virginia Eastern
\boxtimes	District of Columbia	\boxtimes	Maryland	\mathbf{X}	Ohio Northern	\times	Virginia Western
\boxtimes	Florida Middle	\boxtimes	Massachusetts	\boxtimes	Ohio Southern	\boxtimes	Washington Eastern
\boxtimes	Florida Northern	\boxtimes	Michigan Eastern	\boxtimes	Oklahoma Eastern	\square	Washington Western
\boxtimes	Florida Southern	\boxtimes	Michigan Western	\mathbf{X}	Oklahoma Northern	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	West Virginia Northern
\boxtimes	Georgia Northern	\boxtimes	Minnesota	\boxtimes	Oklahoma Western	\boxtimes	West Virginia Southern
\boxtimes	Georgia Middle	\boxtimes	Mississippi Northern	\boxtimes	Oregon	\boxtimes	Wisconsin Eastern
\boxtimes	Georgia Southern	\mathbf{X}	Mississippi Southern	\boxtimes	Pennsylvania Eastern	\boxtimes	Wisconsin Western
	Guam	\boxtimes	Missouri Eastern	\boxtimes	Pennsylvania Middle	\mathbf{X}	Wyoming
\boxtimes	Hawaii	\boxtimes	Missouri Western	\boxtimes	Pennsylvania Western		
\boxtimes	Idaho	\boxtimes	Montana		Puerto Rico		
National Courts							
U.S. Court of Multidistrict Litigation Federal Claims International Trade							
2.) I am an individual associated with University of Minnesota							
3) Please summarize why the case information from the Public Access to Court Electronic Record							

3.) Please summarize why the case information from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) service is needed and how it will be used. Also, please explain why an exemption from the courts identified is necessary. If you need more space, please provide in an attachment.

I am starting a research project for my economics PhD program on how the bankruptcy policy and process potentially amplifies racial differences in wealth. In a life cycle model I will explore the impact of attorney fees, debt and asset types, exemptions, and repayment plans, all which the case information from PACER will provide more detailed breakdowns. Having access to the name of the filer will also allow me to link filings together to track wealth over time after multiple bankruptcy filings. The decision between chapter 7 and 13 may be influenced by attorney fee structure or debt type. Exemption laws also contribute to differences in wealth by protecting assets that are not evenly distributed across race. Black filers are more likely to have their chapter 13 cases dismissed, maybe due to more iwealth shocks or stricter repayment plans. My project would require a large sample of individual, primarily consumer debt, chapter 7 and chapter 13 filings from 2007 and on which would be costly without the exemption.

4.) In support of this application, I affirm the following:

a) An exemption from the Judicial Conference's EPA Fee is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.

b) That the exemption will be for a definitive period of time: 2 Years

c) I understand that this fee exemption will apply only to me, will be valid only for the purposes stated above, and will apply only to the electronic case files of the court(s) indicated above that are available through the PACER service.

d) I agree that any data received through this exemption will not be sold for profit, will not be transferred, will not be used for commercial purposes, and will not be redistributed via the Internet.

Declaration: I declare that all the above information is true and understand that a false statement
may result in termination of my exempt access and an assessment of Electronic Public Access usage fees. (The box must be marked or your request will not be considered)

Sara Canilang	(917) 796-0836
	Applicant's Phone Number
Applicant's Printed Name	canil007@umn.edu
PhD Candidate	Applicant's email address
Applicant's Title	2625 36th Ave S Applicant's Mailing Address
Sara Canilang	Minneapolis Mn 55406
Applicant's Signature	City State Zip Code
	10/28/2024
	Date

Please submit your completed, signed request via email to Multi-CourtExemptions@ao.uscourts.gov or by mail to:

Attention: Multi-Court Exemptions Court Programs Division DPS-CSO-PRGD One Columbus Circle, N.E. Washington, DC 20544

** Requests sent through the US mail may take up to two weeks to clear security.**